

Association between social factors and gastrointestinal parasite product excretion in a group of non-cooperatively breeding carrion crows

Claudia A.F. Wascher¹

¹ School of Life Sciences, Anglia Ruskin University, United Kingdom

Corresponding author:

Claudia A.F. Wascher, present address: School of Life Sciences, Anglia Ruskin University,
United Kingdom; Phone: ++4369912381419; e-mail: claudia.wascher@gmail.com

Word count: 7832

Abstract

In group-living animals the social environment is thought to affect the probability of parasite transmission. Here, I investigate relationships between social behavior and gastrointestinal parasite product excretion in the carrion crow (*Corvus corone*). Individuals from a population of non-cooperatively breeding carrion crows excreted less samples containing coccidian oocysts when kept in larger groups (8 or 9 individuals) compared to those individuals kept in smaller groups (2 or 3 individuals). Lower-ranking individuals excreted more samples containing parasite oocysts compared to higher-ranking individuals. The strength of affiliative relationships and number of related individuals in the group did not relate to the proportion of droppings containing coccidian oocysts. The present results confirm an association between social environment and parasite excretion patterns in carrion crows, but the patterns described in the present study differ from previously published data derived from a group of cooperatively breeding crows. This illustrates that differences between the social systems of carrion crows might result in different associations between the social environment and parasite product excretion patterns.

Significance statement

One major cost of group living is increased susceptibility to parasites. Not all individuals are affected by this in the same way. A better understanding of the relationship between social behavior and parasite burden can help to better understand evolution of group living. I investigate associations between dominance rank, affiliative relationships, group size and gastrointestinal parasite product excretion in a group of captive carrion crows. Lower-ranking individuals excreted more samples containing parasite oocysts compared to higher-

47 ranking individuals, confirming an association between social relationships and parasite
48 excretion patterns.
49
50 *Key words:* affiliation, aggression, parasites, coccidian oocysts, nematodes, carrion crows
51

Introduction

Increased parasite transmission is considered a major cost of group living (Alexander 1974). Recently it has been suggested that certain aspects of group living confer benefits that reduce the costs of parasite infection (Ezenwa et al. 2016). Such benefits include social immunization, microbially-mediated resistance and socially mediated tolerance (Ezenwa et al. 2016). This means that under certain conditions group living could be advantageous rather than costly in terms of parasite infection.

Initially, group size has been hypothesized to be positively associated with parasite infection risk (Côté and Poulin 1995; Loehle 1995), whereas recent research hints towards the possibility of group size being a weak predictor of parasite infection risk (Rifkin et al. 2012) or negatively associated with parasite intensities (Patterson and Ruckstuhl 2013). Instead, behavior of animals in social groups, for example frequency of social interactions and connectedness, affects infection rates among group members (Rimbach et al. 2015; Balasubramaniam et al. 2019; Habig et al. 2019). Next to social interactions (Duboscq et al. 2016; Romano et al. 2016; VanderWaal et al. 2016), physiological processes that allow for increased parasite replication or survival in the host can significantly affect an individual's susceptibility to parasites. For example, social behavior can significantly affect an individual's physiological stress response (Wascher et al. 2009; Wittig et al. 2015), which can affect the immune system and make individuals more susceptible to parasite infections (Apanius 1998; Akinyi et al. 2019). Otherwise, parasitic infections affect an individual's ability to engage in social behavior (Sheridan et al. 1994; von Holst 1998; Hanley and Stamps 2002; DeVries et al. 2003; Klein 2003; Lopes et al. 2016). Behavioral effects on an individual's physiology and immune system range from relatively short-term, as in the effect on disease susceptibility

(McEwen et al. 1997), to long-term, as in the effects on reproductive outcome (Buchholz 1995; Marzal et al. 2005; Hillegass et al. 2010) and have serious impacts on host longevity (Rousset et al. 1996; Archie et al. 2014).

The social environment can either facilitate or inhibit susceptibility and exposure to parasitism. Adverse effects of the social environment on health and susceptibility to parasites may be caused, for example, by increased competition and aggressive behavior (Azpiroz et al. 2003; Hawley et al. 2006; Chester et al. 2010). In meerkats, receiving but not initiating aggressive interactions was positively correlated with increased susceptibility of tuberculosis infection (Drewe 2010). Social status also affects an individual's risk to be infected with parasites. A recent meta-analysis shows dominant individuals facing a higher parasite risk compared to subordinate individuals. This effect is mediated by social system (linear versus egalitarian hierarchies) and mating effort (Habig et al. 2018). In baboons (*Papio cynocephalus*), high-ranking males were less likely to become ill, and they recovered more quickly than low-ranking males (Archie et al. 2012).

Affiliative social interactions have been found to be associated with both increased and reduced risk of parasite infection. In rhesus macaques, *Macaca mulatta*, allo-grooming mediated transmission of *Escherichia coli* and central individuals in the social network could be considered 'super-spreaders' (Balasubramaniam et al. 2019). In Cape ground squirrels, *Xerus inauris*, increased durations of allo-grooming were associated with lower counts of ectoparasites (Hillegass et al. 2008). In northern bald ibis (*Geronticus eremita*) initiating more affiliative interactions was associated with lower excretion of parasite products (Puehringer-Sturmayr et al. 2018), potentially by having a stress-reducing effect, which in

turn might positively affect an individual's immune system (Sachser et al. 1998; Frigerio et al. 2003; Stöwe et al. 2008; Young et al. 2014; Müller-Klein et al. 2019). In a captive population of cooperatively breeding carrion crows, individuals with strong affiliative relationships excreted less samples containing coccidian oocysts (Wascher et al. 2019).

In the present study, I investigate associations between social behavior and gastrointestinal parasite burden in the carrion crow, *Corvus corone*. Corvids express high variability in their social organization depending on life history and ecological factors. Within species, the social organization might vary between different life history stages, seasons or populations. For example, in most European populations, carrion crows form socially monogamous pairs during the breeding season and large flocks during the rest of the year (Meide 1984; Glutz von Blotzheim 1985), whereas in northern Spain, crows live in stable social groups of up to nine individuals, consisting of the breeding pair and retained offspring as well as immigrants, which are in most cases male individuals (Baglione et al. 2003). Group living in carrion crows is linked to a higher level of cooperation, for example, in nestling provisioning (Baglione et al. 2005). Within their social groups, corvids establish valuable social relationships, characterized by spatial proximity, high levels of tolerance, relatively low frequencies of aggressive interactions and relatively high frequencies of affiliative behaviors (Fraser and Bugnyar 2010; Heinrich 2011). Within such valuable relationships, individuals support one another in agonistic encounters (Emery et al. 2007; Fraser and Bugnyar 2012) and share information and resources (Bugnyar et al. 2001; de Kort et al. 2003). Monogamous pair-bonds in corvids are characterized by complex social interactions that demand a high level of cooperation, coordination and affiliation between the paired individuals, which may explain, in turn, the evolution of advanced cognitive skills ('relationship intelligence': Emery

et al. 2007; Wascher et al. 2018). Corvids usually establish linear dominance hierarchies (Izawa and Watanabe 2008). In a previous study on a cooperatively breeding population of captive carrion crows, individuals with strong affiliative bonds excreted a smaller proportion of samples containing coccidian oocysts as did individuals living in larger groups (Wascher et al. 2019).

Here, I investigate the association between aspects of the social environment of non-cooperatively breeding captive carrion crows (strength of affiliative relationships, dominance hierarchy, and group structure) and fecal egg count. Fecal egg counts provide a reliable estimate of parasite infection rates (Seivwright et al. 2004; Daş et al. 2011). Further, I compare the results of the present study with the previously published data in Wascher et al. (2019). I expect a positive social environment, such as engaging in strong affiliative relationships, to reduce excretion of parasite eggs and oocysts. In the previous study, individual position in the dominance hierarchy was not correlated with eggs and oocysts excretion patterns and I expect to confirm these results. Lastly, I investigate the association between group size (i.e., pairs or trios versus flocks of eight or nine individuals) and parasite product excretion. Parasite transmission may be expected to be facilitated by an increase in group size, however, in line with Wascher et al. (2019), I expect to find a negative association between group size and the number of parasite eggs and oocysts excreted by individuals.

Methods

Study subjects and ethics statement

I collected the data for this study in four phases, between 2008-2010 and between 2012-2015 from a population of captive carrion crows housed in large outdoor aviaries at the Konrad Lorenz research station (KLF), Grünau, Upper Austria (47°51'02 N 13°57'44 E). I observed 21 individuals (10 males and 11 females), kept in different group formations, such as groups of eight or nine individuals and pairs or trios. Due to the long-term character of the present study, individual birds were opportunistically moved between different compartments and group compositions due to age, reproduction, group expansion or the death of individual birds (Table 1). Aviaries were approximately 20-45 m² and were equipped with wooden perches, natural vegetation and rocks. At the start of the study (2008), groups were kept in the large aviary (45 m²) and then consecutively moved into smaller compartments when separated into pairs and trio. In January 2012, all birds were moved into same sized compartments (20 m²). An enriched diet consisting of fruit, vegetables, bread, meat and milk products was provided on a daily basis. Water was available *ad libitum* for both drinking and bathing. This study complied with Austrian and local government guidelines. Individuals remain captivity housed in the Cumberland game park and the KLF (under the license AT00009917), before and after completion of the present study. Data collection was entirely non-invasive, and no further animal experimental license was required (<http://www.ris.bka.gv.at/GeltendeFassung.wxe?Abfrage=Bundesnormen&Gesetzesnummer=10010558>).

Behavioral data

I conducted a total of 899 individual focal observations. Each observation lasted five minutes, and I recorded all occurring behaviors. For this study, I focused on the frequencies

of agonistic behavior (threat, chase flight, and fight) and affiliative behaviors (allopreen and contact sit). I recorded the identity, role (initiator/receiver) of interacting individuals and the outcome of the agonistic interaction (winner/loser), with the loser of an agonistic interaction defined as the individual that retreated.

Composite sociality index

For each phase of data collection, I calculated a composite sociality index (CSI) for each crow dyad within a group according to Silk et al. (2010). I included two affiliative behaviors, namely contact sitting and allopreening, to calculate the CSI. Following Archie et al. (2014) I corrected for different observational effort between individuals by regressing CSI against the number of observations per individual. The residuals from the regression presented the ‘corrected CSI’ (will be referred to as CSI from here onwards). The higher the CSI of a dyad, as compared with the frequency of the affiliative interactions observed within that dyad’s group, the stronger the affiliative bond between the two individuals in that dyad. For statistical analysis, I used the maximum CSI among all dyads for each individual, which reflected their strongest affiliative relationship within the group. For descriptive purposes, I classified dyads as ‘bonded’ when they displayed a higher CSI than the average of the entire sample and lower rates of aggression than the average of the entire group.

Elo-rating

I calculated the relative success levels of individuals in agonistic encounters as an Elo-rating in the R package ‘*aniDom*’ (version 0.1.4; Sánchez-Tójar et al. 2018). Elo-rating allows to track dynamic changes in rank over the different phases of data collection. Each individual was rated based on the outcome of each discrete interaction (winner / loser) and the

(predicted) probability of that outcome occurring (Neumann et al. 2011). Similar to above, I calculated a 'corrected Elo-rating' to correct for different observational effort between individuals by regressing Elo-rating against the number of observations per individual. The residuals from the regression presented the 'corrected Elo-rating' (will be referred to as Elo-rating from here onwards).

Parasitological examination

During the entire study period, I collected a total of 559 individual droppings directly after defecation (for a detailed overview see Table 1). I determined individual gastrointestinal parasite load from droppings. From 2008 until November 2011, I used a modified version of the flotation method (Schnieder et al. 2006). I suspended the fresh droppings (0.1 g) in a 2 ml collection tube with 1 ml saturated saline. I shook collection tubes for 10 seconds and afterwards centrifuged for 5 minutes at 3000 rpm. After centrifugation, I filled the collection tubes with saline solution and positioned a cover slip (18 x 18 mm) onto the tube. The high density of the saline solution causes the parasite eggs and oocysts to float up and be caught on the cover slip (Carta and Carta 2000). After 10 minutes, I moved the cover slip onto an object slide and identified and counted the parasite eggs and oocysts (by size and shape). From December 2011 onwards, I used a McMaster counting chamber. I weighted the entire dropping, then diluted with 3 ml saturated NaCl solution per 0.1 g of dropping and mixed thoroughly. Afterwards, I poured the solution into both compartments of the McMaster counting chambers. After a 10-minute resting period, I counted the number of parasite eggs and oocysts in each compartment and calculated the number of parasite products per 1 ml of dropping.

I used a compound microscope with 100-fold and 400-fold amplification for parasite examination to identify coccidian oocysts, several nematode species (*Capillaria* sp., *Ascarida* sp., *Syngamus* sp. and *Heterakis* sp., *Trichostrongylus tenius*) and cestodes to a varying degree (Table 2). I used presence versus absence of parasite eggs and oocysts for further analysis, which allowed a direct comparison between the two applied methods of droppings examination (flotation versus McMaster). As only nine samples contained cestodes, I conducted no further statistical analysis on this parasite group.

Data analysis

All data was analysed by CAFW. During behavioral data collection the author was blind to the outcome of parasitological examination and vice versa. I analyzed factors affecting the proportion of droppings containing coccidian oocysts and nematode eggs in crows using the *glmer* function in R (version 3.5.3; R Core Team 2019) in the *lme4* package (version 1.1-19; Bates et al. 2015). In two models, the number of samples containing nematode eggs or coccidian oocysts for each period of data collection was the response term. I calculated GLMMs with binomial error distribution and a two-vector response variable comprising the number of infected and non-infected samples for each individual in each phase. I employed various model diagnostics to confirm model validity (visual inspection of the distribution of residuals, Q-Q plots, residuals plotted against fitted values), none of which suggested violation of the model's assumptions. To assess multicollinearity between fixed factors, I calculated variance inflation factors (VIFs) using the *vif* function in the package *car* (version 3.0-6; Fox and Weisberg 2011). VIFs for all models were below 1.6, indicating that there was no issue with multicollinearity (Zuur et al. 2009). Strength of affiliative relationships (CSI value), social structure (pair/trio or group), number of related

individuals, sex and Elo-rating were included as explanatory variables. For each model, I fitted individual identity as a random term to control for the potential dependence associated with multiple samples from the same individuals. The statistical significance level was adjusted to $P \leq 0.025$ following Bonferroni, to account for multiple testing of coccidia oocysts and nematode eggs. In addition to the main analysis, I compared the results based on the present dataset (collected from a non-cooperatively breeding population of captive carrion crows) with those based on a previously published data (from a cooperatively breeding population) (Wascher et al. 2019). Data collection and analysis (for example calculation of CSI and Elo-rating scores) were comparable in both studies. In order to investigate potential differences in social structure between the two populations, I compared the number of social relationships applying a GLMM with Poisson error distribution, as well as CSI value and Elo-rating applying two general linear models (GLMs) with Gaussian error distribution. Population was included as explanatory variable, individual identity was fitted as a random term in each model and I calculated models in the *lme4* package.

Data availability statement

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are available in the Open Science Framework repository, <https://osf.io/3ytpf/>.

Results

Social relationships

I observed 30 bonded dyads (out of 213 dyads in total), of which 19 were male-female dyads (five between related individuals and 14 between unrelated individuals). Six dyads were male-male dyads (all between unrelated individuals) and five female-female dyads (all between unrelated individuals). On average (\pm SD), males and females had 2.125 (\pm 1.642) and 1.625 (\pm 1.505) bonded partners, respectively. The mean CSI (\pm SD) between bonded dyads was 2.467 (\pm 2.165) for male-female, 2.258 (\pm 1.744) for female-female and 3.911 (\pm 2.062) for male-male bonds. Neither the number of social bonds, the CSI value or Elo-rating differed between the cooperatively breeding and non-cooperatively breeding populations of crows (number of social bonds: estimate \pm SE = -0.157 ± 0.363 , $z = -0.433$, $P = 0.664$; CSI: estimate \pm SE = -0.154 ± 0.748 , $z = -0.206$, $P = 0.836$; Elo-rating: estimate \pm SE = -9.733 ± 54.373 , $z = -0.179$, $P = 0.857$).

Occurrence of coccidian oocysts and nematode eggs

243 samples from 18 individuals contained coccidian oocysts (43 % compared to 31 % in the Spanish population of cooperatively breeding crows). Crows kept in groups of eight or nine individuals excreted less samples containing coccidian oocysts compared to crows kept in pairs or trios (estimate \pm SE = 0.986 ± 0.276 , $z = 3.564$, $P < 0.001$, Fig. 1). Higher ranking individuals excreted fewer samples containing coccidian oocysts than lower ranking individuals (estimate \pm SE = -0 ± 0 , $z = -2.167$, $P = 0.03$, Fig. 2). However, the number of samples containing coccidian oocysts was not related to number of related individuals in the group, CSI (after Bonferroni correction) and sex (Table 3a).

287 Overall, 172 samples, collected from 14 individuals contained nematode eggs (31 %
288 compared to 9 % in the Spanish population of cooperatively breeding crows). None of the
289 factors investigated significantly affected excretion patterns of nematode eggs (Table 3b).
290
291

Discussion

In this study, individuals from a population of non-cooperatively breeding carrion crows excreted less samples containing coccidian oocysts when kept in larger groups (8 or 9 individuals) compared to those individuals kept in smaller groups (2 or 3 individuals). Further, lower-ranking individuals excreted more samples containing parasite oocysts compared to higher-ranking individuals. A similar association between group-size and parasite excretion patterns was found in a population of cooperatively breeding carrion crows (Wascher et al. 2019). However, other patterns in the present study did not replicate previous findings. In a group of cooperatively breeding crows, the proportion of samples containing coccidian oocysts was negatively correlated with the strength of affiliative social relationships, but dominance hierarchy did not affect parasite excretion patterns (Wascher et al. 2019).

The present study suggests that variation in the social system within a species can result in differences in parasite product excretion patterns. Here, in a population of non-cooperatively breeding carrion crows, subordinate individuals excreted more samples containing coccidian oocysts. In contrast, no such association was found in a population of cooperatively-breeding carrion crows (Wascher et al. 2019). In a way this is surprising, as dominance is a mechanism to suppress reproduction in subordinate individuals in some cooperatively breeding mammalian species (Creel et al. 1992; Young et al. 2006) and I would have expected an effect of rank position on parasite excretion patterns in the cooperatively breeding population of carrion crows, but not in the non-cooperatively breeding group. On the other hand, avian cooperatively breeding societies have often been described as highly tolerant, especially towards related individuals (Baglione et al. 2003; Dickinson et al. 2009),

which could explain the present results. The relationship between social rank, glucocorticoid excretion and immune function is a complex one and can depend on factors like mating system, costs of rank acquisition and maintenance, or social stability (Goymann and Wingfield 2004; Cavigelli and Chaudhry 2012; Beehner and Bergman 2017; Habig et al. 2018). Further, the relationship between glucocorticoids and parasite burden might not be linear, and only high levels of physiological stress might influence parasite infection (Romeo et al. 2020). In two recent meta-analyses on several vertebrate taxa, dominant individuals exhibited higher parasite burden compared to subordinates, especially in linear versus egalitarian hierarchies and in mating systems where dominance rank predicts mating effort (Habig and Archie 2015; Habig et al. 2018). This is in contrast to the results of the present study. Carrion crows also can be considered to form linear and stable hierarchies (Chiarati et al. 2010), with dominant individuals gaining priority of access to resources such as food (Chiarati et al. 2011). In Siberian hamsters, *Phodopus sungorus*, social defeat affects immune function (Chester et al. 2010). Similar to the results in the present study, subordinate individuals display a higher parasite burden in a variety of species (Guenons, *Cercopithecus mitis*: Foerster et al. 2015; mice, *Mus musculus*: Rau 1983; plain zebra, *Equus quagga*: Fugazzola and Stancampiano 2012). Higher levels of parasite excretion in subordinate individuals might reflect higher exposure to psychosocial stressors compared to dominant individuals (Levy et al. 2020).

I did not find an effect of sex on parasite excretion patterns. Differences in parasite burden between the sexes are mostly mediated by endocrine-immune interactions (Zuk and McKean 1996; Klein 2004). For example, high levels of testosterone are expected to be positively correlated with high parasite burden (Hudman et al. 2000; Decristophoris et al.

2007). Related to this, males are usually expected to have higher levels of endoparasites compared to females. However, this pattern does not hold in all species. Similar to the present study in carrion crows, a relationship between sex and parasite excretion was missing, for example, in red-fronted lemurs, *Eulemur fulvus rufus* (Clough et al. 2010), brown mouse lemur, *Microcebus rufus* (Rafalinirina et al. 2019), and four species of songbirds (Granthon and Williams 2017). Data for the present study was collected throughout the year in non-reproductively active birds, hence sex-steroid levels are expected to be generally low (Soma 2006; Hau et al. 2008), and therefore both sexes might have been similarly susceptible to parasite infection in the studied population.

Increased exposure to parasites and disease transmission is considered as one of the major disadvantages of group living. Group size is usually positively related to parasite burden, which is seen as a major selective force in group living animals (Côté and Poulin 1995; Rifkin et al. 2012; Patterson and Ruckstuhl 2013). Similar to a previous study in captive cooperatively breeding carrion crows, individuals in the present study excreted less samples containing coccidian oocysts when living in larger groups. A limitation of the present study is the fact that I only used two categories of group size (2-3 and 8-9 individuals). Individuals were grouped together in these categories opportunistically. Juvenile crows in the wild form 'non-breeder flocks' (Meide 1984; Glutz von Blotzheim 1985), usually larger than 8-9 individuals, however group sizes at the study site needed to be kept small in order for them to be manageable. It had to be considered that groups size and parasite load are associated in a non-linear manner, which could not be assessed in the present study (Hopkins et al. 2020). Infection by parasites in group living animals can be caused by different mechanisms. For example, parasites can be transmitted directly during social interactions, hence

individuals engaging more socially are at greater risk of infection. Parasite infection can also be related to physiological processes, which allow parasites increased replication or survival in the host, for example, a compromised immune system, mediated by the physiological stress response (Muehlenbein and Watts 2010). Unfortunately, in the present study I have not measured individual stress levels and therefore cannot verify an association between parasite excretion patterns and levels of stress. The relationships between the physiological stress response, the immune system, and susceptibility to parasites are quite complex. A recent meta-analysis of 110 records from 65 studies in mammalian hosts from experimental and observational studies generally indicated a positive relationship between glucocorticoids and parasite burden (Defolie et al. 2020), however, overall results about the relationship are complex. Future studies investigating the direct link between social behavior, physiological stress response and parasite excretion patterns would be desirable.

In contrast to Wascher et al. (2019), strengths of affiliative social relationships were not associated with the proportion of samples containing coccidian oocysts. Neither the strength nor the number of affiliative relationships differed significantly between the subject populations in these studies. Therefore, it does not seem that populations show a general behavioral difference in affiliative relationships within groups. In cooperatively breeding crows, individuals with strong affiliative relationships excreted less samples containing coccidian oocysts (Wascher et al. 2019), which could reflect a stress reducing and immune system enhancing function of affiliative social relationships. Strong relationships between pair-partners, between kin, and also between non-paired and unrelated individuals play a significant role in the social life of corvids, and have been hypothesized to be an important driver in the evolution of cognition (Emery et al. 2007). I expected to find, but was unable to

confirm in the present study, that the nature of an individual's social relationships would affect their health and physiology. This is especially interesting as dominance rank was negatively correlated with the proportion of samples excreted containing coccidian oocysts. Aggressive social interactions have previously been shown to be among the most potent stressors (Wascher et al. 2008) and position in the hierarchy is related to stress (Creel 2001) and immunodepression (Barnard et al. 1998) in a number of species. Social relationships have been suggested to mitigate the effects of aggression onto the physiological stress response (Sachser et al. 1998) and therefore I would have expected to find an effect of strength of affiliative relationships on parasite product excretion in carrion crows.

In the present study, the excretion of coccidian oocysts but not excretion of nematode eggs was found to be related to social factors. These results replicated those of Wascher et al. (2019) and may be related to differences between these parasite species in their lifecycles. Coccidian oocysts have a shorter prepatent period compared to nematode eggs (Edgar 1955; French and Zachary 1994). This could potentially make them more sensitive to short-term changes in stress levels and immune system. This is supported by a study in carrion crows, showing coccidian oocysts, but not nematode eggs to significantly increase in the first week after a major stressor (Spreafico et al. 2012). In graylag geese, excretion of coccidian oocysts, but not nematode eggs are significantly increased in the first week after social isolation (Ludwig et al. 2017).

In summary, more droppings containing coccidian oocysts were excreted by individuals kept in pairs and trios compared to groups of eight or nine individuals. Strength of affiliative social relationships did not correlate with parasite product excretion; however, subordinate

individuals excreted more samples containing coccidian oocysts compared to dominant individuals. The present results illustrate differences in the social system in carrion crows also resulting in different associations between social environment and parasite product excretion.

Acknowledgments

I am very grateful to Gaius de Smidt for detailed feedback on the manuscript. I also thank two anonymous referees for valuable comments on the manuscript.

Ethics Statement

Funding

This work was supported by the Fonds zur Förderung Wissenschaftlicher Forschung Austria (FWF) project P21489-B17 to Kurt Kotrschal and CAFW, and permanent support was provided by the ‘Verein der Förderer’ and the Herzog von Cumberland Stiftung.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval

All procedures were conducted in accordance with the ASAB/ABS guidelines for the treatment of animals in behavioral research. The keeping of these captive birds was authorized under a license issued to the Cumberland Wildlife park Grünau (AT00009917). Data collection was entirely non-invasive, and no further animal experimental license was required.

434

435 ***Informed consent***

436 Not applicable.

437 **References**

- 438 Akinyi MY, Jansen D, Habig B, Gesquiere LR, Alberts SC, Archie EA (2019) Costs and
 439 drivers of helminth parasite infection in wild female baboons. *J Anim Ecol* 88:1029–1043.
 440 doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12994
- 441 Alexander RD (1974) The evolution of social behavior. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol S* 5:325–383
- 442 Apanius V (1998) Stress and immune defense. *Adv Stud Behav* 27:133–153
- 443 Archie EA, Altmann J, Alberts SC (2012) Social status predicts wound healing in wild
 444 baboons. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 109:9017–9022. doi:10.1073/pnas.1206391109
- 445 Archie EA, Tung J, Clark M, Altmann J, Alberts SC (2014) Social affiliation matters: both
 446 same-sex and opposite-sex relationships predict survival in wild female baboons. *Proc R Soc*
 447 *B* 281:20141261. doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.1261
- 448 Azpiroz A, Garmendia L, Fano E, Sanchez-Martin JR (2003) Relations between aggressive
 449 behavior, immune activity, and disease susceptibility. *Aggress Violent Beh* 8:433–453.
 450 doi:10.1016/S1359-1789(02)00066-6
- 451 Baglione V, Canestrari D, Marcos JM, Ekman J (2003) Kin selection in cooperative alliances
 452 of carrion crows. *Science* 300:1947–9. doi:10.1126/science.1082429
- 453 Baglione V, Marcos JM, Canestrari D, Griesser M, Andreotti G, Bardini C, Bogliani G (2005)
 454 Does year-round territoriality rather than habitat saturation explain delayed natal dispersal and
 455 cooperative breeding in the carrion crow? *J Anim Ecol* 74:842–851. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
 456 2656.2005.00983.x
- 457 Balasubramaniam KN, Beisner BA, Hubbard JA, Vandeleest JJ, Atwill ER, McCowan B
 458 (2019) Affiliation and disease risk: social networks mediate gut microbial transmission
 459 among rhesus macaques. *Anim Behav* 151:131–143. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2019.03.009
- 460 Barnard CJ, Behnke JM, Gage AR, Brown H, Smithurst PR (1998) The role of parasite–
 461 induced immunodepression, rank and social environment in the modulation of behaviour and
 462 hormone concentration in male laboratory mice (*Mus musculus*). *Proc R Soc Lond B*
 463 265:693–701. doi:10.1098/rspb.1998.0349
- 464 Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using
 465 lme4. *J Stat Softw* 67:1–48
- 466 Beehner JC, Bergman TJ (2017) The next step for stress research in primates: To identify
 467 relationships between glucocorticoid secretion and fitness. *Horm Behav* 91:68–83.
 468 doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2017.03.003
- 469 Buchholz R (1995) Female choice, parasite load and male ornamentation in wild turkeys.
 470 *Anim Behav* 50:929–943. doi:10.1016/0003-3472(95)80095-6
- 471 Bugnyar T, Kijne M, Kotrschal K (2001) Food calling in ravens: are yells referential signals?
 472 *Anim Behav* 61:949–958. doi:10.1006/anbe.2000.1668

473 Carta LK, Carta DG (2000) Nematode specific gravity profiles and applications to flotation
474 extraction and taxonomy. *Nematology* 2:201–210

475 Cavigelli SA, Chaudhry HS (2012) Social status, glucocorticoids, immune function, and
476 health: Can animal studies help us understand human socioeconomic-status-related health
477 disparities? *Horm Behav* 62:295–313. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012.07.006

478 Chester Emily M, Bonu T, Demas GE (2010) Social defeat differentially affects immune
479 responses in Siberian hamsters (*Phodopus sungorus*). *Physiol Behav* 101:53–58.
480 doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2010.04.016

481 Chester Emily M., Bonu T, Demas GE (2010) Social defeat differentially affects immune
482 responses in Siberian hamsters (*Phodopus sungorus*). *Physiol Behav* 101:53–58.
483 doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2010.04.016

484 Chiarati E, Canestrari D, Vera R, Marcos JM, Baglione V (2010) Linear and stable
485 dominance hierarchies in cooperative carrion crows. *Ethology* 116:346–356.
486 doi:10.1111/j.1439-0310.2010.01741.x.

487 Chiarati E, Canestrari D, Vila M, Vera R, Baglione V (2011) Nepotistic access to food
488 resources in cooperatively breeding carrion crows. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 65:1791–1800.
489 doi:10.1007/s00265-011-1187-1

490 Clough D, Heistermann M, Kappeler PM (2010) Host intrinsic determinants and potential
491 consequences of parasite infection in free-ranging red-fronted lemurs (*Eulemur fulvus rufus*).
492 *Am J Phys Anthropol* 142:441–452. doi:10.1002/ajpa.21243

493 Coté IM, Poulin R (1995) Parasitism and group size in social animals: a meta-analysis. *Behav*
494 *Ecol* 6:159–165. doi:10.1093/beheco/6.2.159

495 Creel S (2001) Social dominance and stress hormones. *Trends Ecol Evol* 16:491–497.
496 doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(01)02227-3.

497 Creel S, Creel N, Wildt DE, Monfort SL (1992) Behavioural and endocrine mechanisms of
498 reproductive suppression in Serengeti dwarf mongooses. *Anim Behav* 43:231–245.
499 doi:doi:10.1016/s0003-3472(05)80219-2

500 Daş G, Savaş T, Kaufmann F, Idris A, Abel H, Gauly M (2011) Precision, repeatability and
501 representative ability of faecal egg counts in *Heterakis gallinarum* infected chickens. *Vet*
502 *Parasitol* 183:87–94. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.07.005

503 Decristophoris PMA, von Hardenberg A, McElligott AG (2007) Testosterone is positively
504 related to the output of nematode eggs in male Alpine ibex (*Capra ibex*) faeces. *Evol Ecol*
505 *Res* 9:1277–1292

506 Defolie C, Merklings T, Fichtel C (2020) Patterns and variation in the mammal parasite–
507 glucocorticoid relationship. *Biol Rev* 95:74–93. doi:10.1111/brev.12555

508 DeVries AC, Glasper ER, Detillion CE (2003) Social modulation of stress responses. *Physiol*
509 *Behav* 79:399–407. doi:10.1016/S0031-9384(03)00152-5

- 510 Dickinson JL, Euaparadorn M, Greenwald K, Mitra C, Shizuka D (2009) Cooperation and
511 competition: nepotistic tolerance and intrasexual aggression in western bluebird winter
512 groups. *Anim Behav* 7:867–872. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2008.11.026
- 513 Drewe JA (2010) Who infects whom? Social networks and tuberculosis transmission in wild
514 meerkats. *Proc R Soc Lond B* 277:633–642. doi:10.1098/rspb.2009.1775
- 515 Duboscq J, Romano V, Sueur C, MacIntosh AJJ (2016) Network centrality and seasonality
516 interact to predict lice load in a social primate. *Sci Rep* 6:22095. doi:10.1038/srep22095.
- 517 Edgar SA (1955) Sporulation of oocysts at specific temperatures and notes on the prepatent
518 period of several species of avian coccidia. *J Parasitol* 41:214. doi:10.2307/3273795
- 519 Emery NJ, Seed AM, von Bayern Auguste M P, Clayton NS (2007) Cognitive adaptations of
520 social bonding in birds. *Phil Trans R Soc B* 362:489–505. doi:10.1098/rstb.2006.1991
- 521 Ezenwa VO, Ghai RR, McKay AF, Williams AE (2016) Group living and pathogen infection
522 revisited. *Curr Opin Behav Sci* 12:66–72. doi:10.1016/j.cobeha.2016.09.006
- 523 Foerster S, Kithome K, Cords M, Monfort SL (2015) Social status and helminth infections in
524 female forest guenons (*Cercopithecus mitis*): Rank and nematode infections in a forest
525 guenon. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 158:55–66. doi:10.1002/ajpa.22764
- 526 Fox J, Weisberg S (2011) *An {R} Companion to Applied Regression*, 2nd edn. Sage
527 Publications, Thousand Oakes, CA
- 528 Fraser ON, Bugnyar T (2010) The quality of social relationships in ravens. *Anim Behav*
529 79:927–933. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2010.01.008
- 530 Fraser ON, Bugnyar T (2012) Reciprocity of agonistic support in ravens. *Anim Behav*
531 83:171–177. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2011.10.023
- 532 French RA, Zachary JF (1994) Parasitology and pathogenesis of *Geopetitia aspiculata*
533 (Nematoda: Spirurida) in zebra finches (*Taeniopygia guttata*): Experimental infection and
534 new host records. *J Zoo Wildlife Med* 25:403–422
- 535 Frigerio D, Weiss B, Dittami J, Kotrschal K (2003) Social allies modulate corticosterone
536 excretion and increase success in agonistic interactions in juvenile hand-raised graylag geese
537 (*Anser anser*). *Can J Zool* 81:1746–1754. doi:10.1139/Z03-149
- 538 Fugazzola MC, Stancampiano L (2012) Host social rank and parasites: Plains zebra (*Equus*
539 *quagga*) and intestinal helminths in Uganda. *Vet Parasitol* 188:115–119.
540 doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.03.019
- 541 Glutz von Blotzheim UN (1985) *Handbuch der Vögel Mitteleuropas*. Aula-Verlag,
542 Wiesbaden
- 543 Goymann W, Wingfield JC (2004) Allostatic load, social status and stress hormones: the costs
544 of social status matter. *Anim Behav* 67:591–602. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2003.08.007
- 545 Granthon C, Williams DA (2017) Avian malaria, body condition, and blood parameters in
546 four species of songbirds. *Wilson J Ornithol* 129:492–508. doi:10.1676/16-060.1

547 Habig B, Archie EA (2015) Social status, immune response and parasitism in males: a meta-
548 analysis. *Phil Trans R Soc B* 370:20140109. doi:10.1098/rstb.2014.0109

549 Habig B, Doellman MM, Woods K, Olansen J, Archie EA (2018) Social status and parasitism
550 in male and female vertebrates: a meta-analysis. *Sci Rep* 8:3629. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-
551 21994-7

552 Habig B, Jansen DAWAM, Akinyi MY, Gesquiere LR, Alberts SC, Archie EA (2019) Multi-
553 scale predictors of parasite risk in wild male savanna baboons (*Papio cynocephalus*). *Behav*
554 *Ecol Sociobiol* 73:134. doi:10.1007/s00265-019-2748-y

555 Hanley KA, Stamps JA (2002) Does corticosterone mediate bidirectional interactions between
556 social behaviour and blood parasites in the juvenile black iguana, *Ctenosaura similis*? *Anim*
557 *Behav* 63:311–322. doi:10.1006/anbe.2001.1874

558 Hau M, Gill SA, Goymann W (2008) Tropical field endocrinology: Ecology and evolution of
559 testosterone concentrations in male birds. *Gen Comp Endocr* 157:241–248.
560 doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2008.05.008

561 Hawley DM, Lindström K, Wikelski M (2006) Experimentally increased social competition
562 compromises humoral immune responses in house finches. *Horm Behav* 49:417–424.
563 doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2005.09.003

564 Heinrich B (2011) Conflict, cooperation, and cognition in the common raven. *Adv Stud*
565 *Behav* 43:189–237. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-380896-7.00004-6

566 Hillegass MA, Waterman JM, Roth JD (2008) The influence of sex and sociality on parasite
567 loads in an African ground squirrel. *Behav Ecol* 19:1006–1011. doi:10.1093/beheco/arn070

568 Hillegass MA, Waterman JM, Roth JD (2010) Parasite removal increases reproductive
569 success in a social African ground squirrel. *Behav Ecol*. 21:696–700.
570 doi:10.1093/beheco/arq041

571 von Holst D (1998) The concept of stress and its relevance for animal behavior. *Adv Stud*
572 *Behav* 27:1–131

573 Hopkins SR, Fleming-Davies AE, Belden LK, Wojdak JM (2020) Systematic review of
574 modelling assumptions and empirical evidence: Does parasite transmission increase
575 nonlinearly with host density? *Methods Ecol Evol* 11:476–486. doi:10.1111/2041-
576 210X.13361

577 Hudman SP, Ketterson ED, Nolan V (2000) Effects of time of sampling on oocyst detection
578 and effects of age and experimentally elevated testosterone on prevalence of coccidia in male
579 dark-eyed juncos. *Auk* 117:1048–1051

580 Izawa E-I, Watanabe S (2008) Formation of linear dominance relationship in captive jungle
581 crows (*Corvus macrorhynchos*): Implications for individual recognition. *Behav Process*
582 78:44–52. doi:10.1016/j.beproc.2007.12.010

583 Klein SL (2003) Parasite manipulation of the proximate mechanisms that mediate social
584 behavior in vertebrates. *Physiol Behav* 79:441–449. doi:10.1016/S0031-9384(03)00163-X

585 Klein SL (2004) Hormonal and immunological mechanisms mediating sex differences in
586 parasite infection. *Parasite Immunol* 26:247–264. doi:10.1111/j.0141-9838.2004.00710.x

587 de Kort SR, Emery NJ, Clayton NS (2003) Food offering in jackdaws (*Corvus monedula*).
588 *Naturwissenschaften* 90:238–240. doi:10.1007/s00114-003-0419-2

589 Levy EJ, Gesquiere LR, McLean E et al (2020) Higher dominance rank is associated with
590 lower glucocorticoids in wild female baboons: A rank metric comparison. *Horm Behav*
591 125:104826. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2020.104826

592 Loehle C (1995) Social barriers to pathogen transmission in wild animal populations. *Ecology*
593 76:326–335

594 Lopes PC, Block P, König B (2016) Infection-induced behavioural changes reduce
595 connectivity and the potential for disease spread in wild mice contact networks. *Sci Rep*
596 6:31790. doi:10.1038/srep31790

597 Ludwig SC, Kapetanopoulos K, Kotrschal K, Wascher CAF (2017) Effects of mate separation
598 in female and social isolation in male free-living Greylag geese on behavioural and
599 physiological measures. *Behav Process* 138:134–141. doi:10.1016/j.beproc.2017.03.002

600 Marzal A, de Lope F, Navarro C, Møller AP (2005) Malarial parasites decrease reproductive
601 success: an experimental study in a passerine bird. *Oecologia* 142:541–545.
602 doi:10.1007/s00442-004-1757-2

603 McEwen BS, Biron CA, Brunson KW et al (1997) The role of adrenocorticoids as modulators
604 of immune function in health and disease: neural, endocrine and immune interactions. *Brain*
605 *Res Rev* 23:79–133

606 Meide M (1984) Raben- und Nebelkrähe. Westarp Wissenschaften, Magdeburg

607 Muehlenbein MP, Watts DP (2010) The costs of dominance: testosterone, cortisol and
608 intestinal parasites in wild male chimpanzees. *BioPsychoSocial Med* 4:21. doi:10.1186/1751-
609 0759-4-21

610 Müller-Klein N, Heistermann M, Strube C, Franz M, Schülke O, Ostner J (2019) Exposure
611 and susceptibility drive reinfection with gastrointestinal parasites in a social primate. *Funct*
612 *Ecol* 33:1088–1098. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.13313

613 Neumann C, Duboscq J, Dubuc C, Ginting A, Irwan AM, Agil M, Widdig A, Engelhardt A
614 (2011) Assessing dominance hierarchies: validation and advantages of progressive evaluation
615 with Elo-rating. *Anim Behav* 82:911–921. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2011.07.016

616 Patterson JEH, Ruckstuhl KE (2013) Parasite infection and host group size: a meta-analytical
617 review. *Parasitology* 140:803–813. doi:10.1017/S0031182012002259

618 Puehringer-Sturmayer V, Wascher CAF, Loretto M-C, Palme R, Stoewe M, Kotrschal K,
619 Frigerio D (2018) Seasonal differences of corticosterone metabolite concentrations and
620 parasite burden in northern bald ibis (*Geronticus eremita*): The role of affiliative interactions.
621 *PLoS ONE* 13:e0191441. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0191441

622 R Core Team (2019) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
623 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, <http://www.r723project.org/>

624 Rafalinirina AH, Randrianasy J, Wright PC, Ratsimbazafy J (2019) Effect of socio-ecological
625 factors and parasite infection on body condition of Brown Mouse Lemur *Microcebus rufus*
626 (Mammalia: Primates: Cheirogaleidae). J Threat Taxa 11:13632–13643.
627 doi:10.11609/jott.4799.11.6.13632-13643

628 Rau ME (1983) Establishment and maintenance of behavioural dominance in male mice
629 infected with *Trichinella spiralis*. Parasitology 86:319–322.
630 doi:10.1017/S0031182000050484

631 Rifkin JL, Nunn CL, Garamszegi LZ (2012) Do animals living in larger groups experience
632 greater parasitism? A meta-analysis. Am Nat 180:70–82. doi:10.1086/666081

633 Rimbach R, Bisanzio D, Galvis N, Link A, Di Fiore A, Gillespie TR (2015) Brown spider
634 monkeys (*Ateles hybridus*): a model for differentiating the role of social networks and
635 physical contact on parasite transmission dynamics. Phil Trans R Soc B 370:20140110.
636 doi:10.1098/rstb.2014.0110

637 Romano V, Duboscq J, Sarabian C, Thomas E, Sueur C, MacIntosh AJJ (2016) Modeling
638 infection transmission in primate networks to predict centrality-based risk: Individual
639 Centrality and Infection Flow. Am J Primatol 78:767–779. doi:10.1002/ajp.22542

640 Romeo C, Wauters LA, Santicchia F, Dantzer B, Palme R, Martinoli A, Ferrari N (2020)
641 Complex relationships between physiological stress and endoparasite infections in natural
642 populations. Curr Zool 66:449–457. doi:10.1093/cz/zoaa029

643 Rousset F, Thomas F, Meeûs T De, Renaud F (1996) Inference of parasite-induced host
644 mortality from distributions of parasite loads. Ecology 77:2203–2211

645 Sachser N, Dürschlag M, Hirzel D (1998) Social relationships and the management of stress.
646 Psychoneuroendocrino 23:891–904

647 Sánchez-Tójar A, Schroeder J, Farine DR (2018) A practical guide for inferring reliable
648 dominance hierarchies and estimating their uncertainty. J Anim Ecol 87:594–608.
649 doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12776

650 Schnieder T, Boch J, Supperer R (2006) Veterinärmedizinische Parasitologie. 6th edn. Parey,
651 Berlin

652 Seivwright LJ, Redpath SM, Mougéot F, Watt L, Hudson PJ (2004) Faecal egg counts
653 provide a reliable measure of *Trichostrongylus tenuis* intensities in free-living red grouse
654 *Lagopus lagopus scoticus*. J Helminthol 78:69–76. doi:10.1079/JOH2003220

655 Sheridan JF, Dobbs C, Brown D, Zwilling B (1994) Psychoneuroimmunology: stress effects
656 on pathogenesis and immunity during infection. Clin Microbiol Rev 7:200–212

657 Silk JB, Beehner JC, Bergman TJ, Crockford C, Engh AL, Moscovice LR, Wittig RM,
658 Seyfarth RM, Cheney DL (2010) Female chacma baboons form strong, equitable, and
659 enduring social bonds. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 64:1733–1747. doi:10.1007/s00265-010-0986-0

660 Soma KK (2006) Testosterone and aggression: Berthold, birds and beyond. J
661 Neuroendocrinol 18:543–551. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2826.2006.01440.x

662 Spreafico M, Szipl G, Kotrschal K, Wascher CAF (2012) Physiological and behavioural
663 response in carrion crows (*Corvus corone corone*) after relocation to a new environment.
664 Wien Tierarztl Monat 99, Suppl 1:61 (abstract)

665 Stöwe M, Bugnyar T, Schloegl C, Heinrich B, Kotrschal K, Möstl E (2008) Corticosterone
666 excretion patterns and affiliative behavior over development in ravens (*Corvus corax*). Horm
667 Behav 53:208–216. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2007.09.021

668 Ungerfeld R, Correa O (2007) Social dominance of female dairy goats influences the
669 dynamics of gastrointestinal parasite eggs. Appl Anim Behav Sci 105:249–253.
670 doi:10.1016/j.applanim.2006.05.008

671 VanderWaal KL, Obanda V, Omondi GP, McCowan B, Wang H, Fushing H, Isbell LA
672 (2016) The “strength of weak ties” and helminth parasitism in giraffe social networks. Behav
673 Ecol 27:1190–1197. doi:10.1093/beheco/arw035

674 Wascher CAF, Arnold W, Kotrschal K (2008) Heart rate modulation by social contexts in
675 greylag geese (*Anser anser*). J Comp Psychol 122:100–107. doi:10.1037/0735-
676 7036.122.1.100

677 Wascher CAF, Canestrari D, Baglione V (2019) Affiliative social relationships and coccidian
678 oocyst excretion in a cooperatively breeding bird species. Anim Behav 158:121–130.
679 doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2019.10.009

680 Wascher CAF, Kulahci IG, Langley EJG, Shaw RC (2018) How does cognition shape social
681 relationships? Phil Trans R Soc B 373:20170293. doi:10.1098/rstb.2017.0293

682 Wascher CAF, Scheiber IBR, Weiß BM, Kotrschal K (2009) Heart rate responses to agonistic
683 encounters in greylag geese, *Anser anser*. Anim Behav 77:955–961.
684 doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2009.01.013

685 Wittig RM, Crockford C, Weltring A, Deschner T, Zuberbühler K (2015) Single aggressive
686 interactions increase urinary glucocorticoid levels in wild male chimpanzees. PLoS ONE
687 10:e0118695. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118695

688 Young AJ, Carlson AA, Monfort SL, Russell AF, Bennett NC, Clutton-Brock T (2006) Stress
689 and the suppression of subordinate reproduction in cooperatively breeding meerkats. P Natl
690 Acad Sci USA 103:12005–12010. doi:10.1073/pnas.0510038103

691 Young C, Majolo B, Schülke O, Ostner J (2014) Male social bonds and rank predict supporter
692 selection in cooperative aggression in wild Barbary macaques. Anim Behav 95:23–32.
693 doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.06.007

694 Zuk M, Kim T, Robinson S, Johnsen T (1998) Parasites influence social rank and
695 morphology, but not mate choice, in female red junglefowl, *Gallus gallus*. Anim Behav
696 56:493–499. doi:10.1006/anbe.1998.0807

697 Zuk M, McKean KA (1996) Sex differences in parasite infections: Patterns and processes. Int.
698 J Parasitol 26:1009–1024

699 Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Walker NJ, Saveliev AA, Smith GM (2009) Mixed Effects Models and
700 Extension in Ecology With R. Springer, New York

Figure Captions

Fig. 1

Percentage of samples containing coccidian oocysts in carrion crow droppings in relation to the group structure. Box plots show the median, the interquartile range from the 25th to the 75th percentiles. The upper whisker is the maximum value of the data that is within 1.5 times the interquartile range over the 75th percentile. The lower whisker is the minimum value of the data that is within 1.5 times the interquartile range under the 25th percentile.

Fig. 2

Percentage of samples containing coccidian oocysts in carrion crow droppings in relation to individual Elo-ratings

715 **Table 1** List of all focal individuals and information about population, sex (F = female, M = male), age (year of hatching; if not known, individuals
716 are classified as adult), group (group composition: family, flock, pair, trio), phases of data taking during which the individual was recorded (G08:
717 March to May, November to December 2008; G09: September to December 2009; G10: January to June 2010; G12: January to July 2012; G15:
718 May to July 2015), number of droppings and behavioral focal observations collected, maximum composite sociality index (CSI) and Elo-rating
719 (corrected for observational effort)
720

	Sex	Age	Group	Phase	Droppings	Observations	Maximum CSI	Elo-rating
Baerbel	F	2012	Pair	G15	6	4	0.2	0
Baerchen	M	2008	Group	G09	10	31	0.851	-415.963
			Pair	G10	7	34	-0.654	-95.607
				G12	40	24	-3.493	-121.425
				G15	10	4	4.613	-170.479
Franz	M	2007	Group	G08	8	48	6.046	-373.713
			Pair	G09	4	30	-2.34	-591.204
				G10	4	3	-4.189	-575.713
				G12	34	24	-1.195	-593.785
Fritz	M	2008	Group	G09	2	9	3.018	140.418
Gabi	F	2007	Group	G08	6	39	-5.234	42.354
				G09	14	28	-1.093	248.54
			Pair	G10	4	27	-3.841	192.931
			Trio	G10	2	4	-3.841	192.931
			Trio	G12	43	24	-3.493	178.891
Gertrude	F	2011	Pair	G12	33	24	0.871	-169.628
Hitchcock	M	2007	Group	G08	2	48	3.259	220.656
				G09	4	22	5.691	300.681
Hugo	M	1989	Group	G08	2	33	7.385	-27.644
				G09	9	27	-3.001	-118.429
			Trio	G10	5	5	-0.706	-203.628
Klaus	M	2009	Group	G08	13	10	0.384	350.719

				G09	5	14	-1.483	359.999
			Trio	G12	33	25	-2.518	377.277
Mate	M	2008	Group	G09	2	45	-0.018	-144.222
Munin	F	2014	Trio	G15	13	6	-1.296	-140.388
Olaf	F	adult	Pair	G12	2	4	-1.17	-6.211
Peter	F	2007	Group	G08	3	52	7.564	344.312
				G09	10	56	-0.911	-0.31
			Pair	G10	6	33	-4.538	-408.302
				G12	48	24	-3.493	-431.538
				G15	11	7	2.578	-476.962
Pinky	F	2008	Group	G08	7	10	5.287	393.195
Resa	F	2009	Trio	G12	35	24	-3.493	126.195
Ronja	F	2008	Group	G08	2	8	0.75	211.466
Ruediger	M	adult	Pair	G12	2	4	-1.17	-6.211
Sissi	F	2008	Group	G08	2	9	3.018	228.64
Toeffel	F	2008	Group	G08	3	20	1.741	-103.002
				G09	8	37	17.919	39.523
			Pair	G12	38	23	-1.195	3.378
Walter	M	2011	Pair	G12	37	24	-1.311	260.476
				G15	7	6	-1.296	216.679
Willi	M	2012	Trio	G15	7	5	-1.179	135.711

721
722
723

724 **Table 2** Number of samples containing and not containing different parasite products

	Number of samples containing	Number of samples not containing	Total number of samples
nematode eggs	387	172	559
coccidian oocysts	316	243	559
cestodes	9	550	559

725

Table 3 Results of the generalized mixed linear model investigating factors affecting patterns of coccidian oocyst and nematode egg excretion. Models investigate effects of group structure, number of related individuals (Nr related), strength of affiliative relationships (CSI), sex and dominance hierarchy (Elo-rating) on presence or absence of (a) coccidian oocysts and (b) nematode eggs in the sample. Significant values ($p \leq 0.05$) are highlighted in bold

	Parameters	Estimate \pm SE	<i>z</i>	<i>p</i>
(a) Coccidia	Intercept	-1.115 \pm 0.283	-3.929	<0.001
	Group structure	0.99 \pm 0.291	3.401	<0.001
	Nr related	-1.12 \pm 0.633	-1.768	0.077
	CSI	0.073 \pm 0.036	1.997	0.045
	Elo-rating	-0 \pm 0	-2.49	0.012
	Sex	-0.077 \pm 0.181	-0.424	0.67
(b) Nematodes	Intercept	-1.155 \pm 0.475	-2.433	0.014
	Group structure	0.337 \pm 0.331	1.017	0.308
	Nr related	-1.169 \pm 0.631	-1.852	0.063
	CSI	0.035 \pm 0.044	0.799	0.423
	Elo-rating	0 \pm 0	0.853	0.393
	Sex	-0.215 \pm 0.548	-0.393	0.693



