

Dynamics of user-generated content and service failure recovery: evidence from the millennials

Ozuem, W*, Willis, M**, Ranfagni, S***, Howell, K**** and Rovai, S***** Anglia Ruskin University, UK* London Metropolitan University, UK** University of Florence, Italy*** Northumbria University, UK**** Excelia Business School, France*****

Abstract

Purpose

There is considerable interest in the value of user-generated content (UGC) and its antecedents. Despite its growing importance, existing studies have largely ignored the value and archetypes of UGC as they relate to customers' responses to recovery efforts in the fashion industry. This paper departs from previous studies as it examines the extent in which UGC creation influences customers' responses to providers' service recovery efforts, particularly how millennials interactions impact recovery efforts.

Design/methodology/approach

Through phenomenological hermeneutics this study adopts a theoretical sampling approach to collect empirical data from three European countries (France, Italy, and the UK). This means that the close relationships between subject and object are identified, and data analysis and collection undertaken in relation to consistent iterative interpretations in an evolving process of study. Drawing on multi-theoretical lenses, utilising actor–network and social influence theories, this study advances understanding through the development of a new conceptual model relating to individual characteristics.

Findings

We suggest some pragmatic implications and explain how these customers' archetypes can lead to effective decision making for marketers. Finally, the model shows that understanding consumers' behaviour through their UGC can create and enhance service failure recovery (SFR) efforts

Originality/value

This study further develops the conceptualisation of customer responses to service failures and provides a set of practical insights that brand managers can employ to recover service failures. The study characterises customers based on the level of severity they perceive in relation to service failure and based on the level of UGC activity they will willingly engage in through social media

Key words: user generated content, service failure recovery, millennials, phenomenological hermeneutics methodology, qualitative approach

1. Introduction

In the past two decades, research into the marketing and technology interface has significantly advanced our understanding of how UGC affects firms' decision making (Mukherjee, 2014), The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2007) described UGC as content made publicly available over the Internet, which reflects a certain amount of creative effort, and is created outside professional routines and practices. This definition recognises that UGC relates to both technological platforms and co-creation activities by users for users. Several researchers have studied the significance of technology platforms that enable UGC or allow customers to use content provided by the firm (Eigenraam *et al.*, 2018; Wang *et al.*, 2019). Another stream of studies has looked at the psychological basis of consumers' UGC activity (Sugathan *et al.*, 2017; Chen *et al.*, 2018). Others considered sales and viewership, and they illuminated the benefits of traditional online marketing information, such as product reviews, which influence search and product choice (Chevalier & Mayzlin, 2006; Godes and Mayzlin, 2004). For example, a survey by eMarketer found that consumers are less trusting of mainstream media and slick corporate marketing, turning instead to UGC to find their own truth about service failure recovery (Kats, 2021). Another stream have noted that the influence of UGC is not just directed by the context of the content, it also involves the creation of content and various interactions that can influence the perceived authenticity of the content (Berger & Milkman, 2012; Weiss *et al.*, 2008).

Research has acknowledged the role of social networks and their ability to lower the perceived magnitude of service failures (Fan & Niu, 2016; Hartline *et al.*, 2000; Liu *et al.*, 2019; Mattila & Patterson, 2004). However, these studies focused on the speed of recovery to depict a rather broad range of recovery phases and they sometimes produced conflicting and inconclusive results. We agree that the speed of recovery efforts may lead to a service recovery paradox: a situation in which customer satisfaction after a service failure is greater than it was prior to the

service failure when the customer receives high recovery performance (McCollough & Bharadwaj, 1992). This provides a critical impetus for recovery strategies on the basis of service processes that focus exclusively on social media and the speed of recovery. Although research has begun to examine the value of UGC contributions to service failure and recovery (SFR), most studies have assumed that UGC within a demographic cohort is organically linear, and a customer's continued engagement exhibits consistent intentions and commitments during a SFR process (Béal *et al.*, 2022; Su, Stepchenkova, and Kirilenko, 2019). What these studies have in common is that they consider millennials' responses to be unidimensional; failure to examine the variance within this demographic cohort complicates and challenges marketers' recovery processes.

There is also a paucity of insights into variance in UGC engagement and interactions with SFR processes (Crisafulli & Singh, 2017). Recently, Azemi *et al.* (2020) argued that customers' complex perceptions and attitudes towards SFR are aligned with various contextual antecedents, including pace of recovery, relationship with provider and familiarity with the channel. Despite considerable advances in developing conceptual clarity and theoretical explanations that help to explain and address this complex and often misunderstood phenomenon, challenges remain, particularly in relation to the variance in UGC and SFR practices. Our research objective is to understand how and to what extent UGC creation influences customers' responses to providers' service recovery efforts. Specifically, we have set out to examine how customer-to-customer interactions, millennials' interactions in particular, impact recovery efforts. Our notion of UGC is related to, but distinct from, the idea of unidimensional and varied interpretations of millennials' perceptions in the SFR literature (Albrecht *et al.*, 2019; Ozuem *et al.*, 2021).

In the present study, we situate and integrate two somewhat contrasting but still functionally compatible theories (social influence theory and actor–network theory) to provide insights into

the mechanism of UGC and its influence on customers' responses to service recovery efforts. The paper proceeds as follows. In the next section, we discuss the construct and antecedents of UGC, we review SFR and consumer response from a broad perspective and then we discuss the theoretical lens used to examine the relationship between UGC and SFR. In Section 3, the methodological tapestry of the study is presented, including the philosophical paradigm and data collection methods. In Section 4 we discuss how empirical data were analysed, adopting an inductive analytical approach. Our framework is provided in Section 5 to help brand managers seeking to improve their SFR practices, and to increase our collective understanding of UGC in SFR practices. We discuss managerial implications in Section 6. In Section 7 we identify some areas in which further research is needed and suggest specific directions aimed at providing further insights.

2. Literature review and theoretical framework

2.1 UGC: construct and antecedents

Research suggests several perspectives are relevant to the critical role of social networks in improving service recovery outcomes. Understanding the motivations of customers to create UGC and their influence on recovery efforts is important for service providers. Brand sentiment is a common measurement for evaluating the success of social media activity (Hoffman & Fodor, 2010). Online customers who have negative sentiments towards providers can be influenced if they observe a high frequency of replies from a company to customer UGC. Such replies emphasise the firm's willingness to help customers (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2017). An overview of literature related to characteristics and application of UGC is given in Table 1.

Presi *et al.* (2014) identified five motivations that may drive customers to participate in UGC following service failure: altruism, the desire to help others who have a negative experience; vengeance, which sees customers find solutions by causing harm to the firm; venting, where customers express emotions as a means to be heard or to release frustrations; economic, where customers create UGC to gain compensation; and self-enhancement, which involves customers who seek interactions and social recognition for reporting an incident. The influence of negative information can be greater than positive information (Rozin & Royzman, 2001). Customers may seek to obtain benefits through UGC, such as receiving compensation following service failure, and other rewards for providing UGC. Poch and Martin (2015) suggested that offering extrinsic rewards for individual content creations had a positive effect on the likelihood of UGC creation. This, in turn, determines a number of economic incentives that have more impact than social benefits.

Table 1: Overview of literature related to characteristics and application of user-generated content

Focus	Salient findings	Representative studies and the characteristics they emphasise
Advancement of electronic word-of-mouth (e-WOM) messages	Traditional elements associated with word-of-mouth messages, including positive and negative statements and information related to a product, service or brand, are captured through user-generated content (UGC) and published by potential, actual or former customers. Customers have the opportunity to signal positive or negative brand-related sentiments using various digital formats and tools to display these sentiments through UGC	Expressed brand sentiment Ibrahim <i>et al.</i> (2017) Hoffman & Fodor (2010) Vermeer <i>et al.</i> (2019) New media characteristics Berger & Milkman (2012) Klostermann <i>et al.</i> (2018) Ramirez <i>et al.</i> (2018) Consumers as content creators Naeem & Ozuem (2022) Krishnamurthy & Dou (2008) Netzer <i>et al.</i> (2012)
Motivations to participate in UGC	Motivations behind consumers' contributions to UGC range from altruistic and social to materialistic benefits. Satisfied or dissatisfied customers may share positive or negative perspectives and experiences through UGC to socially express themselves, achieve intrinsic and extrinsic goals, or to overcome obstacles. UGC	Extrinsic and intrinsic goals Castro & Marquez (2017) Hennig-Thurau <i>et al.</i> (2004) Poch & Martin (2015) Yang & Lai (2010) Satisfaction and dissatisfaction

	becomes a source of support for customers seeking answers or actions from customer services	Demangeot & Broderick (2006) Presi <i>et al.</i> (2014) Septianto <i>et al.</i> (2020) Interpersonal support Buechel & Berger (2018) Naeem (2020)
Impact on marketing and sales	UGC can be utilised as a communication tool to spread and enhance brand-related awareness among online consumers, through direct text conversations or indirectly through visual content. UGC stimulates the branding of companies and their offerings. Digital platforms holding UGC can be an instrument for customer acquisition and customer retention. UGC can generate consumer reviews that can influence other consumers' decision-making processes. Displays of positive and negative e-WOM can have a direct impact on product and services sales.	Brand-related awareness Colicev <i>et al.</i> (2019) Klostermann <i>et al.</i> (2018) Liu <i>et al.</i> (2017) Nanne <i>et al.</i> (2021) Consumer acquisition, retention and decision-making processes De Bruyn & Lilien (2008) Lamrhari <i>et al.</i> (2021) Wang <i>et al.</i> (2019) You & Joshi (2020) Consumer reviews and sales Chevalier & Mayzlin (2006) Godes & Mayzlin (2004) Langan <i>et al.</i> (2017) Mukherjee (2014) Moon & Kamakura (2017)
Credibility	Content created and shared by consumers, close friends, family and other related peers is considered more trustworthy than firm-created content. Peer-to-peer interactions can impact behavioural purchasing intentions and community membership. Consumers can perceive UGC to be fabricated reviews, or to have a positive or negative bias. Consumers may suspect commercial intent behind company-sponsored UGC and disclosure	Customer and peer trustworthiness Dost <i>et al.</i> (2019) Ransbotham <i>et al.</i> (2012) Weiss <i>et al.</i> (2008) White & Dahl (2006) Authenticity doubt and bias Gerrath & Usrey (2021) Hwang & Jeong (2016) Moon <i>et al.</i> (2021) Jiménez-Barreto <i>et al.</i> (2020)

2.2 Determinants and dynamics of service failure

Service failure occurs when customers' expectations are not met, whereas recovery strategies are actions that providers deliver to overcome the event (Azemi *et al.*, 2019). Extant literature identifies two key characteristics of SFR strategies: (1) service failures are always expected to occur (Wang *et al.*, 2011) and (2) the most minor failures can have the highest severity if not

resolved appropriately (Azemi *et al.*, 2019; Barwise & Meehan, 2010). Scholars have noted the need to understand online SFR strategy experiences and their influence on consumers' perceptions and behavioural intentions (Azemi *et al.*, 2019; Crisafulli & Singh, 2017).

Service failures can occur for various reasons, including unavailable service, slow service response and poor responses to any bespoke customer requirements (Bitner *et al.*, 1990). Furthermore, most online service failure is a result of poor design, such as website design problems and a lack of user-friendliness. Further variables in this sense include process failure, when technical issues delay online purchase processes, and delivery problems, where products are not delivered or not received on time (Meuter *et al.*, 2000). Holloway and Beatty (2003) identified a six-fold typology of online service failure: (1) delivery, (2) website design, (3) payment, (4) security, (5) product quality and (6) customer service problems. Holloway and Beatty (2003) extended observations of customer behaviour beyond online service failure and investigated issues related to both online and offline encounters. Similarly, Choi and Mattila (2008) examined service failure occurrences, recovery evaluation and post-recovery behaviour, and identified that marketers, customers and other actors can cause service failure.

2.3 Recovery strategies and customer behaviour

Service recovery requires some consideration of how customers perceive the outcomes of recovery strategies. Drawing on justice theory, Smith *et al.* (1999) identified three components of recovery strategies: distributive (recovery outcome), procedural (procedures utilised for recovery strategy) and interactional justice (treatment of customer throughout the process). A recent study by You *et al.* (2020) warned companies to look beyond apology strategies, as mechanisms that have advantageous effects on appreciation strategies, following service failure and to instead examine the self-esteem of customers. Service satisfaction is a critical factor; satisfaction may not be sufficient to retain customer loyalty to providers (Pansari &

Kumar, 2017) due to the varying behaviours and attitudes of customers and their relationships with online providers.

Contradictions occur across the literature regarding what consumers perceive to be a satisfying recovery process, illustrating the heterogeneous nature of customers in the service failure process (Lassar *et al.*, 1999; Azemi *et al.*, 2019). For example, Azemi *et al.* (2019) identified three main types of customers along with their recovery strategies: exigent, solutionists and impulsive customers. Each of these customers plays different roles in the failure recovery process. A specific activity involved in service recovery is co-creation, where both the customer and provider solve the incident. Conversely, Quach and Thaichon (2017) found that co-creation as a recovery strategy leads to customer satisfaction; however, Roggeveen *et al.* (2012) suggested that customers perceive co-creation negatively as they are unwilling to partake in the recovery process. These contrasting views of recovery efforts reflect the differing behavioural characteristics customers have in response to recovery strategies. Drawing on fairness theory, Wei *et al.* (2019) suggested that the willingness of consumers to participate in co-created recovery strategies can go either way. They concluded that if customers perceive co-creation tasks to be intense or beyond their capability, then they will have doubts about the firm's competence and ethical behaviour towards its customers. This reduces the willingness of customers to co-create in the future. However, the perceived control customers have in co-created recovery efforts can generate favourable perceptions regarding the firm's competence and ethical behaviour. This motivates customers to co-create. Arsenovic *et al.* (2019) discussed service recovery encounters in which multiple actors collaborate. A social environment shaped by different actors within social communities (White & Dahl, 2006) can influence customers' experiences (Verhoef *et al.*, 2009). Understanding consumers' perceptions of SFR is not limited to either their experience or loyalty to the firm. A comparison of service recovery elements, strategies and consumer processes is shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Comparison of service recovery elements, strategies and consumer processes

Service recovery elements	Salient findings	Supporting studies	Emphasis on...		
			Affective customer process	Relationship strength	Real-time communication initiative
Speed of recovery	When a service failure occurs, timing of recovery directly influences customer satisfaction and recovery evaluation	Crisafulli & Singh (2017) Hogreve <i>et al.</i> (2017) Smith <i>et al.</i> (1999) Wirtz & Mattila (2004)	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No Yes No No	Yes Yes No Yes
Providers' efforts	Behaviours of "front-line" providers critically impact customer evaluations; perceived efforts increase customer satisfaction regardless of the success of the complaint outcome	Bitner <i>et al.</i> (1994) Folkes (1984) Hartline <i>et al.</i> (2000) Liu <i>et al.</i> (2019) Mattila & Patterson (2004)	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No Yes No No	Yes Yes Yes Yes No
Combining recovery speed and providers' efforts	Different service scenarios change customers' perceptions of speed of recovery, and speed alone is insufficient to maintain customer satisfaction. Providers' efforts must also be customer-centric, delivering related service recovery attributes and regular communications with customers across various phases of pre- and post-recovery	Fan & Niu (2016) Odoom <i>et al.</i> (2019) Ozuem <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Yes Yes Yes	No No Yes	Yes Yes Yes

Recovery strategy	Definition	Emphasis on... 1) Recovery actions 2) Critical service failure 3) Affective nature	Supporting studies
Compensation	A monetary-focused strategy (e.g., refunds, discounts, upgrade services, exchanges and free products or services) for inconvenient experiences and if failures cannot be resolved is perceived as the most favourable to customers	1) Covers the costs incurred – resolving the actual failure less likely 2) Applied to low-critical failures 3) Brief and quick response with minimum affective response	Albrecht <i>et al.</i> (2019) Hoffman <i>et al.</i> (1995) Goodwin & Ross (1992)
Direct and indirect assistance	Action-based strategy to replace or correct failures and assist customers when needed. Assistance can increase customers' tolerance of service failure. Assistance approaches and delivery can impact evaluation of recovery. Assistance can be provided through human personnel or digital systems	1) Support and assistance offered through direct assistance (e.g., call centres, email and social media messages) or indirect assistance (e.g., online customer support webpages, consumers' UGC) 2) Applied to moderate and high-critical failures 3) Real-time informational or emotional support is expected	Gelbrich <i>et al.</i> (2021) Hartline <i>et al.</i> (2000) Liu <i>et al.</i> (2019) Odoom <i>et al.</i> (2019) Ozuem <i>et al.</i> (2021)
Apology	A psychological-focused strategy. An apology is offered by the firm for the service failure, and involves communicating emotions towards consumers. It can mitigate the negative effects of customer dissatisfaction and increase the likelihood of customers forgiving service failures, compared to monetary recovery strategy. May be used if recovery	1) Expressed apology through private or public communication channels. Can involve minimum actions 2) Applied to various levels of critical failures 3) Highly personalised communication offering emotional support and appreciation	Bitner <i>et al.</i> (1994) Ringberg <i>et al.</i> (2007) Sinha & Lu (2016) You <i>et al.</i> (2020)

	solution was not or cannot be delivered		
Co-creation	A customer-centric strategy. This increases customers' active role within the recovery phases. Customers may integrate their own resources with the resources provided by the firm to maximise value. A customer may collaborate with the firm or other social networks to co-create solutions. Consumers may be directly invited by the firm to co-create or take the initiative to choose recovery procedure	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Consumer use self-service technology or resources provided by firms and consumers to recover 2) Applies to various levels of critical failures 3) Consumers feel more satisfied with recovery process and have less negative word-of-mouth intentions. Some may feel pressured or unsure whether to conduct co-creation or self-recover 	Dong <i>et al.</i> (2008) Roggeveen <i>et al.</i> (2007) Meuter <i>et al.</i> (2000) Sugathan <i>et al.</i> (2017) Van Vaerenbergh <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Perceived justice	Definition	Studied by	Emphasised recovery strategy
Distributive justice	Focuses on the perceived fairness of the recovery outcome of the process	Azemi <i>et al.</i> (2019) Kwak <i>et al.</i> (2017) Liu <i>et al.</i> (2019) Smith <i>et al.</i> (1999) Wang <i>et al.</i> (2011) Wei <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Compensation strategy
Procedural justice	Focuses on the perceived fairness of the actual process that led to recovery outcome		Direct and indirect assistance strategy and co-creation strategy
Interactional justice	Focuses on how individuals were treated throughout the process and outcome		Apology strategy

2.4 Social influence theory and actor–network theory

Social networks can influence individuals to imitate community behaviours (Venkatesh & Brown, 2001). Kelman (1958) identified three broad varieties of social influence: compliance, identification and internalisation. Compliance involves adapting behaviour to gain rewards or avoid negative consequences. Identification happens when individuals accept sources of influence to maintain a desired relationship (Kelman, 1958), whereas internalisation reflects an individual's adoption and acceptance of behaviours and values within a community (Kelman,

1958). At the internalisation stage, the individual's integration of community norms into their own norms strengthens their connection with the community.

Menon and Ranaweera (2018) found that the sharing of information post-service occurs when customers have a strong closeness and they exchange ties that contain an explicit expectation of reciprocal relationships. The role of social influence emphasises that adoption behaviours are affected by "...exposure to other actors' knowledge, attitude or behaviours" (Van den Bulte & Lilien, 2001, p. 1410). This is evident from studies that have investigated online consumer word-of-mouth (WOM) messages, a form of UGC, and their effect on various activities, such as generating awareness (De Bruyn & Lilien, 2008) and attitudes towards products and brands (Hansen *et al.*, 2018). It is evident that a potential customer's evaluation of a service provider can be tempered by their observation of the impact that a service failure has on another customer (Wan *et al.*, 2011). Haenlein (2013) found that customers who are socially connected to customers who have previously defected from a service provider are themselves likely to defect. However, a study by Wan and Wyer (2019) found that reactions to service failures depend on the unexpected characteristics of customers involved in the service failure.

Whereas social influence theory explores how people affect other people, actor-network theory explores the influential links between human and non-human actors (Bencherki, 2017). Early studies found that technology and failures of service processes remained largely observed in a "technocentric" manner (Sarker & Lee, 2002). This overlooks the sociotechnical nature of service failures (Sarker *et al.*, 2006). Actor-network theory assumes that non-social phenomena can be considered social as a result of a collection of human and non-human actors (Bencherki, 2017) thus actor-network theory does not make a distinction between human and non-human agents. Human traits, emotions and intentions have been examined explored in reference to non-human entities, such as brands (Kwak *et al.*, 2017) and products and services (Kim & McGill, 2018). Siles and Boczkowski (2012) suggested that actor-network theory

plays a significant part in shaping technology and the ability of individuals to spread information. Other scholars have recognised that without UGC, firms could not track online customers' sentiments towards brands, products or services (Vermeer *et al.*, 2019). Thus, under actor-network perspective, UGC plays a role in how others proceed to act in regard to online SFR.

3. Methodology and data collection

3.1 Grounded assumptions and paradigm of inquiry

Phenomenology explains ... that ... human subjectivity is the foundation of all scientific knowledge' (Gullein, 2019; p 218). Phenomenology argues that 'all acts of consciousness are experienced by the subject' in relation to an object; 'something is understood, perceived or judged' (Howell, 2013; p 57). Indeed, phenomenology provides the research with interpretations relating to distinctions between internal and external worlds as well as relationships between objectivity and subjectivity. In general phenomenology identifies relationships between mind (subject) and world (object). In this paper we use hermeneutical phenomenology and develop a methodological approach that recognises the researcher and researched as not only being-in-the-world but becoming in relation to the investigation and research process. We use this understanding through an inductive approach which allows us to to comprehend historical circumstance and the participatory nature of understanding and discourse. Our existence is historical, so it is not possible to view ourselves or history from an objective position. We do not attempt to free ourselves from historical circumstance but actively reflect on how culture and history affect meaning and interpretation. Understanding is

practical experience that is mutually negotiated and constructed rather than something that is discovered.

Phenomenology is an attempt to provide a description of the intentionality of experience. Intentionality involves that which is aimed at or focused on one's goals in the same way as archers aim at a target. Consequently, this involves the direction or extension of the mind towards things.

Perception is precisely that kind of act in which there can be no question of setting the act itself apart from the end to which it is directed. Perception and the perceived necessarily have the same existential modality ... Any contention that perception is indubitable, whereas the thing perceived is not, must be ruled out. If I see an ashtray, in the full sense of the word see, there must be an ashtray there ... To see red, is to see red actively in existence. (Merleau-Ponty, 1962/1999, p. 374)

Hermeneutics accentuates relationships between “conscious description and social structures and that the meaning of a text is continually interpreted with reference to context” (Howell, 2013, p. 157). Hermeneutics allows the interpretation of data through contextual situations within which engagement occurs through the activity of interpretation; “understanding is based on life experience and activity” (*ibid.*, p. 158). ‘Human lives, experiences and the world as lived (human lifeworld and its phenomena) are understood within their particular temporal, situated frame through an interpretivist epistemology, that draws upon intentionality, intersubjectivity and hermeneutics as a theory of interpretation’ (Suddick et al 2020; p 2). Each of the researchers involved in this study approached the processes of data collection and analysis through this lens with a recognition that each had perceptual pre-comprehensions that served to delineate interpretive procedures and further data collection.

To facilitate this philosophical perspective and methodological approach, we employed a grounded theory sampling technique which enhanced the idea of “givenness” and how this emerges through the research process. Because of the notion of becoming identified through phenomenology and the relationship with hermeneutical historical process theoretical sampling was employed to enable an organic (becoming) dimension to the research process. Theoretical sampling allows for transformations in the research process through evolutionary or dialectical change. Theoretical sampling allows a certain autonomy and liberation in the data collection process. Coyne (1997) ‘argues for researchers to be adaptable and creative in designing sampling strategies that are aimed at sample size being responsive to real-world conditions and that meet in qualitative research and that meet the information needs of the study’ (p 630). Theoretical sampling for this study acknowledged the close relationship between theory and development so provided process which guided the data collection procedures in relation to the evolutionary or dialectical nature of the approach (Breckridge and Jones, 2009). The sample size allowed for saturation of the area and the respondents were not chosen but related to previous answers to questions given by respondents and directions the research then pursued. Indeed, the participant list developed in relation to the questions and as noted the study undertook an inductive approach to ensure that the research emanated from an experiential phenomenological perspective but recognised the difficulties with this in terms of pre-understanding in relation to existing theoretical perspectives.

Data that is theoretically relevant encourages theory generation through comparison controls. The application of “theoretical control over choice of comparison groups is more difficult than simply collecting data from a preplanned set of groups, since choice requires continuous thought, analysis and search” (Glaser and Strauss, 1967, p. 52). Strauss and Corbin (1998) suggested that to sample theoretically, one progresses in an evolutionary fashion.

Flexibility and consistency are necessary when undertaking theoretical sampling (the researcher is in the world and must react to changing circumstances but exercise control when developing ideas and categories). Consistency in this sense refers to comparisons being systematically related to emerging categories to ensure full development. Flexibility refers to “serendipity while out in the field” (Strauss and Corbin, 1998, p. 203). Theoretical sampling allows each sample to build on previous data and analysis. During the research process, theoretical sampling becomes specific, as the theory emerges and evolves. In addition, theoretical coding is closely linked to theoretical sampling and encompasses the essential relationship between data and theory through conceptual codes. Codes are conceptualised as underlying patterns in the data. Through the development and continuation of pre-understanding and being-in-the-world, theoretical sampling incorporates past, present and future perspectives as the research and researchers develop theory and practice through interacting and analysing data (Merlo *et al.*, 2020).

3.2 Data collection methods

We adopted an exploratory, multiple and holistic case study design. The case study design allows researchers to examine a phenomenon situated in a specific context (Hancock & Algozzine, 2017), which means that it is possible to develop an in-depth understanding of a specific case. A case study explores situations, allowing researchers to consider different outcomes (Yin, 2014) and to explore a phenomenon using a variety of data sources to draw out multiple sides of the phenomenon (Baxter & Jack, 2008). The study is limited to a single case but explores multiple groups of individuals. A multiple holistic case study design was therefore applied to understand online service failure in the fashion industry from the perspectives of millennials from three different countries: the United Kingdom (UK), France and Italy. **A study**

by Forbes reveals that the global digital fashion market has a US\$4.8 billion value prediction for 2031 (Kumar, 2023), and 81% of consumers integrate digital channels into their fashion consumption experiences (Intel, 2022). These countries were selected for their status of being among the five European countries with the highest online fashion market revenues in 2023, with a value of US\$30.3 billion (France), US\$20.1 billion (Italy), and US\$56.9 billion (UK) respectively (Statista, 2023). Based on a holistic approach, the study provides results that are generalised, thus limiting the number of variables. However, it reflects the perspective of several units (millennials) from three countries, and their perspectives of service failure in the online fashion industry.

A total of 100 individuals were invited to participate in the study from three countries (France, Italy and the UK). Of these, 60 individuals accepted invitations and participated in the study (participants' demographic information is summarised in Table 3). Breckenridge and Jones (2009) argue that theoretical sampling size is determined by researchers' judgement that "no new properties emerge and the same properties continually emerge" (Glaser, 1978, p. 53) as opposed to a number-specific criteria. However, 12-60 is the recommended sample size to reach a data saturation point qualitative research (Azemi *et al.*, 2019). Coyne (1997) implies that stages of theoretical sampling begins with selecting groups where a phenomenon is most present in. At the beginning of the sampling stage, we chose participants based on professional contacts to identify cases that met our sampling requirements (i.e. millennials between 18 and 39 years of age and online service failure experiences in online fashion). As the data collection progressed, we engaged sampling that built on from emerging theoretical constructs in accordance to theoretical sampling (Breckenridge and Jones, 2009). Interviews consisted of 27 questions, four obtained information of participants demographics, while the remaining 23 extracted service-failure and recovery related experiences (Appendix 1); the later were re-

ordered or rephrased depending on the development of the theoretical constructs that emerged during the interviews.

The interviews were conducted through virtual platforms (Zoom, Adobe, Meet, Teams, Skype) and each interview lasted about 45 minutes. The holistic, qualitative nature of the study required methods that allowed the researchers to modify questions during the interview so as to develop an in-depth understanding of the participants' unique experiences; such is the case for semi-structured interviews (Howell, 2013). This also ensured the responses remained within the topic of service failure and UGC. Pre-determined questions were applied to guide the researchers, but, as the discussions progressed, the questions evolved to obtain a precise understanding of the participants' experiences.

Country	Gender	Occupation	Age range
United Kingdom	Male	University economics student	24–26
	Male	University business student	21–23
	Male	Administrator	27–29
	Female	Administrator	30–32
	Male	Personal trainer	30–32
	Female	University finance student	24–26
	Female	University economics student	24–26
	Female	Teaching assistant	24–26
	Female	Accountant	30–32
	Male	Engineer	30–32
	Male	Graphic designer	21–23
	Male	Business owner	30–32
	Female	Teaching assistant	24–26
	Male	Teaching assistant	24–26
	Male	Sales coordinator	27–29
	Female	University marketing student	18–20
	Male	University marketing student	18–20
	Male	University management student	18–20
	Male	University finance student	18–20
	Male	Marketing coordinator	27–29
Italy	Female	University graduate	24–26
	Female	Content developer	27–29
	Female	University master's student	27–29

	Male	University economics student	21–23
	Female	Cashier and waitress	21–23
	Male	University finance student	18–20
	Male	University master's student	24–26
	Male	University master's student	30–32
	Female	University finance student	18–20
	Female	Administration assistant	21–23
	Female	English and French translator	30–32
	Male	Purchasing and research assistant	27–29
	Female	University marketing student	18–20
	Female	Content manager	33–35
	Female	Fabric cutter	24–26
	Male	University marketing student	18–20
	Male	University marketing student	21–23
	Male	Administrator	33–35
	Male	University economics student	18–20
	Female	University finance student	18–20
	Male	Sales manager	33–35
	Female	Digital marketing executive	33–35
France	Female	MSc Global luxury and fashion management	24–26
	Female	MSc Global luxury and fashion	21–23
	Male	Restaurant waiter	18–20
	Female	MSc Global luxury and fashion management	21–23
	Male	Data analyst	30–32
	Female	MSc Global luxury and fashion	24–26
	Female	MSc Global luxury and fashion	27–29
	Male	Procurement officer	27–29
	Male	Project manager	30–32
	Female	MSc Global luxury and fashion	24–26
	Male	University marketing student	18–20
	Male	Data protection consultant	33–35
	Female	University marketing student	18–20
	Female	Social media assistant	21–23
	Female	Assistant librarian	24–26
	Female	MSc Global luxury and fashion management	24–26
	Male	Sound designer	27–29
	Male	University finance student	18–20

Table 3: Respondents' demographic information

3.3 Research context

This study took place in three countries: France, Italy and the UK. Millennials were generally sought across universities in these countries. Millennials are characterised by their multiplicity of perspectives, goals and skills (Azemi *et al.*, 2020; Helal *et al.*, 2018), are tech-savvy, heavily dependent on social media and are the main creators and sharers of UGC. Loeb (2020) reported that 57% of millennials identify fashion trends through social media and out of all age groups they are the most likely to make online purchases; millennials' familiarity with digital media has played a significant role in shaping online shopping. A recent study indicated that consumers from the millennial generation follow brands through social media to obtain the latest product information, to provide feedback and to access customer services more than consumers from Generation X and Generation Z (Sabanoglu, 2020). Millennials are identified as influencers of other consumers, including non-millennial consumers, when it comes to product and service purchases (Torres, 2015). This is attributed to their mass involvement in sharing information and experiences online.

4. Analytical approach

Our study adopted an inductive data analytical approach, adhering closely to the qualitative approach proposed by Gioia *et al.* (2013). Inductive analysis is a procedure that derives theoretical concepts emerging from collected data (Ozuem, Willis, and Howell, 2022). Yet we sought to understand participations perspectives from both explicit statements, and their implicit connection with theoretical constructs (Ozuem *et al.*, 2021). Gioia *et al.* (2013) proposed guidelines that bring transparency to conducting inductive research, providing a systemic approach to organising and presenting codes and themes (Corley & Gioia, 2011). Following the transcription of recorded interviews, consisting of 242 pages, the original data were synthesised using three trajectories of analysis (first, second and third orders). The first-order analysis revealed a rich narrative of events and several informant terms, codes and

categories about UGC and recovery efforts. As the analysis progressed, the codes from the first-order analysis were connected to the emergent second-order themes and were narrowed down based on their relevance to the generated themes. The third-order analysis, called aggregate dimensions, constructed the data into major themes based on explicit and implicit ideas. Comments as well as the words expressed by participants and the understanding of the researchers were all crucial to this process.

The data structure allowed the researchers to arrange data into a visual aid to demonstrate how the analysis exceeded the empirical context of the data to obtain a broader theoretical understanding through themes (Gioia *et al.*, 2013). This is a key element in demonstrating consistency in qualitative research (Pratt, 2008). Such an approach moves beyond the simple counting of repetitive words or phrases expressed by respondents to provide explicit ideas that support the formation of themes. Figure 1 maps the evolution of the emergent data structure. This means it was also possible to consider the implicit messages that emerged from the comments. The empirical data were categorised into four major themes (aggregate dimensions) based on both the explicit words of participants and the implicit ideas the researchers developed following a review of comments. This allowed both the respondents' and the researchers' voices to be reported in the analysis. In this sense, it was possible to maintain rigorous qualitative standards and high-level perspectives that prompted the critical interpretations needed to develop theoretical themes (Van Maanen, 1979). The four major aggregate dimensions are each discussed in detail in Subsections 4.1 to 4.4.




Figure 1: Data structure

4.1 Brand relationship

Brand relationship refers to the emotions customers develop over time that connect them to a specific brand (Malär *et al.*, 2011). Brand relationships can be strongly linked to descriptions of attitudinal loyalty (Dick & Basu, 1994) which represents customers long-term brand commitment. Customers who feel they have a strong connection with a brand may feel they are able to engage in positive WOM related to the brand, as indicated by this French, 26-year-old female MSc Global Luxury and Fashion Management student:

I like to share on social media something new from the brand or something that they did to surprise me in good way. Sometimes I express my gratitude when the brand solved an issue I had with an order.

Intriguingly, this respondent highlights how a positive brand relationship can motivate customers to positively express their feelings about a brand even when service failure occurs. When customers develop a strong emotional connection with brands that extends beyond the monetary benefits they receive, they are more likely to engage in conversations that refer the brand to other potential customers (Pansari & Kumar, 2017). However, depending on the impact of brand attachment and emotional loyalty, loyal customers are less likely to leave a brand even if a service failure complaint was not fully resolved, as suggested by this French, 22-year-old female MSc Global Luxury and Fashion student:

The company responded politely and were very apologetic for my order not showing up. I lost trust in that online order being sorted, but not in the brand because they responded to the situation.

Another respondent, a 25-year-old female French MSc Global Luxury and Fashion student noted:

I communicate with the company directly, often it is just for minor issues in delivery or clothing size, but even with major issues the company has solved it in my experience, so I see no need to address it publicly on social media.

The positive emotional strength of customers' relationships with brands may discourage them from participating in sharing information that could negatively impact the brand. Such a brand relationship may even motivate customers to defend the brand against others who do share negative information related to the brand.

4.2 Interactivity

Interactivity can be related to the complex development of the implementation of effective engagement within human–computer environments (Sims, 1997). Interactivity can be derived from various types of UGC that contain information related to brands, including product reviews (Moon & Kamakura, 2017), consumer messages from forums (Netzer *et al.*, 2012), and tweets and visual brand-related UGC (Klostermann *et al.*, 2018). A 26-year-old female Italian university graduate stated:

I read the reviews first. Especially on Zalando, like “this dress is short”, or, “time for me to buy a size up...”. You find advice from those who comment...I mostly observe, I do not comment.

Online customer WOM can generate greater credibility and relevant information, and evokes greater interest and empathy towards product-related information than FGC. A 23-year-old male British university business student stated:

My post on my experience received a lot of engagement because others were able to relate to the experience, and it made me feel as though I wasn't alone in this feeling.

Similarly, a 28-year-old male British administrator respondent noted:

Discussing both negative and positive experiences through social media is great, because you can receive instant reactions from peers from exhibiting the deficiencies in service and how companies handle service failure.

Intriguingly, the above respondents identified that the option to talk with others following a negative experience can help customers reduce the negative emotional impact the experience has on them in contrast to dealing with it on their own. Millennials are able to use online communication channels with great convenience and ease when they want to reach out to others for support (Buechel & Berger, 2018). A 28-year-old female Italian university master's student noted:

Other customers and I said: "Well, Sephora's not answering us, even if we put comments on their profile, they're still not answering us, let's start writing about it in our story line", so, we used Socials to get an answer.

The involvement of other customers and their negative responses to service failure using a collective approach could speed up recovery efforts. A large number of social ties strengthens a social network and can impact the speed at which information is spread about products and services (Mukherjee, 2014).

4.3 Perceived impressions

Customer impressions are very important in terms of influencing the recognition of brands during consumer purchase experiences. Each purchasing experience enhances an association with specific providers in terms of mental impressions (Tjandra, Ensor, Omar, and Thomson, 2020). Service recovery strategies are critical to restoring customer satisfaction but can also worsen the situation and can deter purchasing intentions (Smith *et al.*, 1999). A 24-year-old female French assistant librarian indicated:

I wrote to the provider and I didn't receive any response....bad, very bad, isn't it?

This respondent's specific experience identifies the impact of the provider's response (or lack of) to a service failure. A 27-year-old male Italian purchasing and research assistant asserted:

Even when I used their service they said that it was not their direct responsibility as it was the mistake of the distributor...How can a provider say to the client that it is not their responsibility?

The emotional connection customers have with brands is a key factor in shaping how loyal customers respond to online service failures. This distinguishes their responses from other customers' behaviours, attitudes and responses. A 26-year-old male university economics student from the UK noted:

If I received no compensation, I would have taken my complaint to social media platforms to spread the word about my negative experience or to goad the company's attention on social media in order to be rightly compensated.

Customers who encounter a service failure may develop negative emotions, such as anger, concern or distress, and may feel a need to reach out to others (Rimé, 2009) and obtain sympathy for the injustice of a service recovery solution.

4.4 Perceived salience

One of the themes that emerged from the study broadly focused on the attributes of salience. Taylor and Thompson (1982, p. 175) referred to salience as “the phenomenon that when one’s attention is differentially directed to one portion of the environment rather than others, the information contained in that portion will receive disproportionate weighting in subsequent judgments”. This was indicated by a 24-year-old male Italian university master’s student:

I noticed that many customers commented on the same bad service that happened to me. That’s how I found out about what the provider was known for doing bad at.

Customers who share negative information can consequently influence other customers’ behaviour with implications for brand loyalty. A large volume of negative information and experiences can also potentially lead customers to perceive that such information reflects actual service failures that generally occur with the brand.

A 24-year-old female Italian fabric cutter stated:

I purchased shoes online from Foot Locker, I hardly buy shoes online because more problems occur compared to offline shopping. As I suspected, nothing went well, from the online ordering to the compensation process.

Customers’ experiences may influence their judgement regarding future brand encounters. This means that customers may think that the experiences they encountered could occur again, causing them to believe that service failure is a typical and regular occurrence for that brand (Vanhoeche & Alba, 2009). The tendency of customers to generalise their experiences may reduce the salience of positive information shared on social media. A 22-year-old male Italian university economics student stated:

I recognise the competence of those in the company that solved the problem...however, if the issue had not been solved, I would have been unconvinced by the positive comments on social media.

This respondent highlights the scepticism customers have for online positive WOM, especially when they encounter service failure. Customers who seek to reduce service failure risks may observe online information that supports the brand with caution.

5. Emergent findings: service failure severity and customers' response matrix

Our explanatory framework was developed through three stages. First, two authors developed four emergent themes (each author developed two themes) while the other two authors read through the data to gain deeper insight and to develop an independent perspective. Second, we subsequently converged to discuss and resolve our differences based on the original data. Last, iterating between emergent theory, data and the theoretical constructs, we then developed logical arguments to provide further theoretical insights into the phenomenon of interest (Ozuem et al., 2021). This led to the distinct categorisation of four customer groups regarding the severity of service failures and the level of customers' online response following service failure. Service failure severity refers to the perceived negative impact of service failure, and customers' online response refers to the action customers take that may reflect their attitude towards the failure, including reporting the failure directly to the provider or through social media, which can potentially negatively affect the provider (Fan and Niu, 2016; Vermeer et al. 2019; Wirtz and Mattila, 2004).

Customers who encounter service failure will judge the severity of the failure to be low or high, at varying levels, and will respond to the service failure online depending on the diverse characteristics that impact their perception of the service failure (Azemi *et al.*, 2019; Mattila and Patterson 2004; Sugathan *et al.*, 2017). When service failure is perceived as low, it is

possible that customers will not respond negatively in comparison to their response to a failure considered to be very severe. Minor responses indicate that customers will take little negative action against the provider; minor actions may include minimising negative WOM, partaking mostly in positive WOM through social media or generally limiting participation in online WOM (Ozuem et al., 2021). However, even when the failure is perceived as very severe, some customers will still deliver a minor online response (Wan *et al.*, 2011). This is linked to the brand relationship, which is the relationship customers feel they have with the brand. This relationship has an impact on the impression customers form of service failure and diverts their interactive participation and the salience of the failure away from negative WOM (Cheng *et al.*, 2012; Haenlein, 2013; Sinha and Lu, 2016).

In contrast, some customers deliver a major response to service failure that is perceived to be of high severity (Kwak *et al.*, 2017; Liu *et al.*, 2019; Smith *et al.*, 1999). Major responses refer to the active reporting of service failures through social media and significant participation in negative online WOM (Azemi *et al.*, 2019). Customers who are highly active in their response have a strong sense of independence from the provider which causes them to react negatively to the service failure (Odoom *et al.*, 2020; Roggeveen *et al.*, 2007). This often culminates in the spread of negative information (Hansen *et al.*, 2018; Azemi *et al.*, 2020). The severity of the service failure creates a negative impression for these customers causing them to maintain their attention on their negative experience (Wang *et al.*, 2011; Vanhouche and Alba, 2009). This can often lead to negative WOM. Other customers may deliver a major response but might perceive the service failure severity to be low; this is linked to customers who have limited experience in handling a service failure so their impression may be emotionally neutral.

However, because millennials frequently interact on social media, the impression of an inexperienced customer may change in response to impartial customers' judgements on the severity of a failure or the information they encounter and exchange with customers who have

experienced service failure (Albrecht et al., 2019; Septianto et al., 2020). From the four themes of brand relationship, interactivity, perceived impression and perceived salience (BIPP) and the severity of service failure and customers' online responses, the following four categories of customers emerged based on their distinct attitudes and actions delivered online following service failures: forgivers, avengers, mass-crowders and disregarders. Customers were categorised based on their behaviour during SFR processes: the level of UGC in which they engaged and how they perceived the severity of the failure (see Figure 2). The four categories of customers are described in Sections 5.1 to 5.4.




Figure 2: Conceptual framework: BIPP diamond model

5.1 Forgivers

Forgivers are customers with an emotional attachment to a specific brand that motivates them to continue purchasing from that specific brand. Brand relationships have an impact on how forgivers perceive brands during and following service failure. Forgivers' interactivity is dependent on their emotional attachment to the brand, which means that they will mostly engage in positive WOM related to the brand. However, when service failure occurs, forgivers are less likely to interact online because they do not want to tarnish the brand's image and may instead interact with the brand privately. A strong brand relationship motivates forgivers to remain committed to the brand, even if it was directly responsible for service failures (Sinha & Lu, 2016). Forgivers, like any other customer, will have a negative impression of a service failure and will expect solutions to be provided if the failure is severe. However, their relationship with the brand will not be affected. Forgivers are less likely to be socially compliant with other online networks or to internalise the social influence of online networks that could change their impression of the provider. Their relationship with the brand causes them to feel less pressured to align with other customers (Langan *et al.*, 2017).

5.2 Avengers

Avengers are customers who believe brands have the capability to control or prevent service failures. They are less forgiving than forgivers when service failures occurs (Cheng *et al.*, 2012). Consequently, avengers' strong sense of injustice influences their decision to engage in negative WOM that holds the brand directly responsible. When providers do not provide a solution or take responsibility for failures, customers experience further negative emotions, including anger, and will assess whether they feel they were negatively treated by the provider (Septianto *et al.*, 2020). The experience of the service failure and the provider's response can

leave avengers with a negative impression that will have an impact on any further usage of the brand (Azemi *et al.*, 2019). Avengers contribute to the majority of negative information shared online which impacts other customers' confidence in providers (Van Laer & De Ruyter, 2010). Avengers act as influencers rather than being influenced, as they hold a strong mental position regarding service failures; their perception of service failure is more salient to them than other customers' positive experiences. As influencers, avengers can be assigned as actors within the social influence categories of identification and internalisation (Kelman, 1958); in relation to other customers, avengers may have characteristics that are relatable or useful to other customers.

5.3 Mass-crowders

Mass-crowders may not have a strong relationship with a brand due to their limited experience. Therefore, they are more likely to rely on the information provided by consumers' UGC. Customers' online WOM that describes perceived service risks associated with a brand (Hudson *et al.*, 2016) would probably be salient to mass-crowders. When considering social influence categories, mass-crowders can arguably be aligned with compliance and internalisation. At first, mass-crowders may be aligned with the compliance category as they have limited experience to support their decisions and they tend to aim to avoid negative consequences (Kelman, 1958). However, over time they may align with the internalisation category when they begin to accept information because they agree with it (Kelman, 1958) and it influences their pre-existing perceptions regarding how service failures should be handled. These perceptions can vary between customers (Azemi *et al.*, 2019). Mass-crowders may seek to confirm if their current impression is agreeable to the majority of other customers, as shared outlooks and values within a community can socially influence individuals to identify with the community (Lim and Schumann, 2019). However, online comments may reflect a different

sentiment to mass-crowders' pre-existing impressions, so they may adjust their perceptions depending on the volume of negative and positive information.

5.4 Disregarders

Disregarders are customers with varying levels of purchasing experience with brands; their responses to service failures and interactivity opportunities will differ depending on their individual characteristics. Customers' different beliefs and expectations will lead them to have perceptions that are dissimilar from each other as regards the same entity (Kottwitz *et al* 2022). Disregarders have different perceptions that impact on their perceived impressions. However, their actions reflect a less active stance regarding service failures and recovery solutions. Disregarders have a brand relationship that aligns mostly with behavioural loyalty, which is based on past purchasing experiences. Some customers do not expect to build interpersonal relationships with brands (Hudson *et al.*, 2016) or with social networks, so they will have low expectations of interactivity, which probably reduces the social influence effect of interacting customers on disregarders. However, it is possible they will still observe UGC which may impact their impression of a service failure, enabling the internalisation category of social influence to have an effect on their impression and on the salience of the service failure, but they will not take further action against the provider. Disregarders have minimal emotional attachment to brands; therefore, they have low expectations (Hudson *et al.*, 2016). However, if a provider responds to service failures beyond their expectation, the outcome of the provider's actions may alter the salience of the failure to disregarders.

6. Theoretical Implications

This study further develops the conceptualisation of customer responses to service failures and provides a set of practical insights that brand managers can employ to recover service failures. The study characterises customers based on the level of severity they perceive in relation to

service failure and based on the level of UGC activity they will willingly engage in through social media. From these groupings, the study identifies four key customer types: forgivers, avengers, mass-crowders and disregarders. It is important that brand managers do not standardise their failure recovery strategies but adapt them in line with the described behaviours of each customer group, as illustrated in Figure 3. Companies should also take advantage of loyal customers who can act as social influencers to other customers. Social influence involves identifying “seed agents” who can endorse brands, providing other customers with an assurance of quality (Naeem, 2021).

Service failure severity	High	<p><u>Forgivers</u></p> <p>Emotionally attached to provider</p> <p>Engage in positive UGC</p> <p>Providers’ efforts</p> <p>Apology strategy and co-creation strategy</p>	<p><u>Avengers</u></p> <p>Assign responsibility to providers</p> <p>Engaged in negative UGC</p> <p>Speed of recovery and providers’ efforts</p> <p>Compensation and apology strategy</p>
	Low	<p><u>Disregarders</u></p> <p>Emotionally unattached to provider</p> <p>Observes other customers’ UGC</p> <p>Providers’ efforts</p> <p>Compensation strategy and direct and indirect assistance strategy</p>	<p><u>Mass-crowders</u></p> <p>Novice consumers, reliant on experienced customers’ UGC</p> <p>Speed of recovery</p> <p>Direct and indirect assistance strategy</p>
		Minor	Major
		Customers’ response	

Figure 3: Customer categories and recovery recommendations

Forgivers have a strong emotional attachment to the brand that causes them to focus on positive outcomes. This reduces their motivation to engage with negative UGC. The current paper

recommends that practitioners deliver apology and co-creation strategies to forgivers. Forgivers' long-term relationship with brands is mediated by their emotional attachment to brands, so strategies that influence emotional recovery should take priority over material recovery. Forgivers expect brands to have qualities that are similar to those of their close social networks, such as reliability, authenticity and understanding. Thus, offering an apology to a forgiver will maintain that interpersonal connection between a brand and a forgiver, even in circumstances when a brand was unable to deliver a satisfactory recovery. The results highlight that firms, when appropriate, can also take opportunities to facilitate co-created strategies, involving forgivers in their own and other consumers' recovery process. This may emotionally stimulate forgivers who may feel they are being empowered by a brand they admire to recover themselves and to assist others when required.

7. Managerial Implications

Our study entails several practical implications. Our results suggest that in order to reduce the potential severity of UGC, companies must react swiftly and directly to avengers' reports of service failures and emphasise the consequences that affect avengers. The recovery strategy should include a combination of compensation and apology strategies (Chen *et al.*, 2018). Avengers require material and emotional recovery, and they assess not only the outcome of a recovery process but the treatment they received prior and following a recovery outcome. An apology strategy assures the avengers that the firm has accepted responsibility and aims to resolve the service failure. Avengers assign the majority of SFR responsibility to companies; thus, firms must ensure the avengers are expected to conduct minimal efforts towards a recovery process, to reduce their perception that they are investing more than they did prior to the service recovery process. If recovery processes require effort from avengers, then this should be followed by the provider's appreciation of the customer's contribution (You *et al.*,

2020). Avenger customers will then positively assess the provider's actions leading up to the post-recovery stage.

Avengers can indirectly influence a disregarder's perception of the brand regarding service failures. As shown in Figure 3, disregarders focus on providers' efforts, but consider the failure circumstance and its impact on the recovery process. Some disregarders may forgive service failures but others may choose to disregard taking further action against the provider based on the perception that the provider cannot or will not provide solutions to a service failure, leading their relationship with the brand to be based primarily on the monetary benefits they receive. However, like avengers, disregarders' attention is focused on the actions delivered by the provider itself and they look at the interactions generated by electronic-WOM. A compensation strategy is recommended for disregarders to ensure the monetary benefits offered by firms are maintained.

However, before compensation strategies may be expected to occur, firms facilitate direct and indirect assistance strategies to disregarders. Direct assistance strategies would be applied in recovery processes that require providers to initiate the recovery process when disregarders cannot, and indirect strategies should be applied when providers aim to inform disregarders of their recovery efforts. Providers must consider how disregarders will perceive their online responses and actions, as they impact disregarders' confidence in the provider and their willingness to forgive the service failure.

Mass-crowders as novice customers have limited experience with a brand, which makes them unaware of the actual efforts the brand may deliver in the recovery process; thus, the speed of recovery will be the main indicator of a successful recovery process and outcomes. It is recommended that providers conduct direct assistance strategies so mass-crowders can gain an actual and positive recovery-related experience from the provider, which demonstrates the

provider's swift response to a report of a service failure. However, mass-crowders may encounter forgivers' and avengers' UGC, which may inform them of how they feel about a brand's efforts. Mass-crowders' inexperience makes them more reliant on other customers (Chen *et al.*, 2016). Thus, providers should also consider indirect assistance, utilising their forgivers as key indicators of the provider's efforts, to maintain the mass-crowders' confidence. Forgivers can endorse the ability of brands to manage service failures which may influence mass-crowders' perspectives of the brand, potentially motivating them to become loyal customers in the long term. This might influence mass-crowders to become forgivers when they next encounter service failure.

8. Limitations and future research directions

A potential limitation of the BIPP model is that it does not focus on specific types of service failures and how customers will respond to those specific failures. It does, however, encourage brand managers to consider how customers will respond to service failures and the characteristics that influence customers' responses. Different customers will respond differently to the same service failure, so it is important to evaluate customer attitudes and responses towards failure as well as the severity of the failure itself. Additionally, the current study focuses on one single industry, so it is recommended that the BIPP model be tested further in other industries, as different industries may have distinct service characteristics that may impact customer expectations.

This study follows a qualitative approach. The weaknesses of qualitative studies are widely recognised specifically in terms of the issue of generalisability. The findings of this paper are not generalisable due to the limited number of participants involved. Further research could examine the effect of UGC on service failures and the characteristics of the identified customers using a larger sample size. Future studies could also examine the responses of customers from other countries. The conceptualised customer groups developed from these

findings are based on a sample of individuals who live in the UK, France and Italy. These three countries are categorised as having a high level of individualism. Consumers from countries with a collectivist culture may respond differently to service failure and engage differently through UGC compared to customers from individualistic cultures. Future research could examine more countries and potentially compare customers' responses to service failures based on different cultural backgrounds. It would also be interesting to study how service failure recovery process vary between individuals who live in the UK, France and Italy.

References

- Albrecht, A. K., Schaefer, T., Walsh, G., and Beatty, S. E. (2019), 'The effect of compensation size on recovery satisfaction after group service failures: the role of group versus individual service recovery', *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 22 No.1, pp.60-74.
- Arsenovic, J., Edvardsson, B., and Tronvoll, B. (2019), 'Moving Toward Collaborative Service Recovery: A Multiactor Orientation', *Service Science*, Vol. 11 N. 3, pp.201-212.
- Azemi, Y., Ozuem, W., and Howell, K. E. (2020), 'The effects of online negative word-of-mouth on dissatisfied customers: a frustration–aggression perspective', *Psychology & Marketing*, Vol. 37 No.4, pp.564-577.
- Azemi, Y., Ozuem, W. and Lancaster, G. (2019), 'Service failure and recovery strategies in the Balkans: an exploratory study', *Qualitative Market Research*, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 472-496.
- Béal, M., Suri, A., Nguyen, N., Grégoire, Y. and Sénécal, S. (2022), 'Is service recovery of equal importance for private vs public complainers?'. *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 153, pp.392-400.

Barwise, P., and Meehan, S. (2010), 'The one thing you must get right when building a brand', *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 88 No. 12, pp.80–84.

Baxter, P., and Jack, S. (2008), 'Qualitative case study methodology: Study design and implementation for novice researchers', *The Qualitative Report*, Vol. 13 No. 4, pp.544-559.

Bencherki, N. (2017), 'Actor–Network Theory', In Craig Scott & Laurie Lewis (Eds.), *The International Encyclopedia of Organizational Communication*. New York, NY: Wiley.

Berger, J., and Milkman, K. L. (2012), 'What makes online content viral?', *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 49 No.2, pp.192-205.

Bitner, M. J., Booms, B. H., and Mohr, L. A. (1994), 'Critical service encounters: The employee's viewpoint', *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 58 No. 4, pp.95-106.

Bitner, M. J., Booms, B. H., and Tetreault, M. S. (1990), 'The service encounter: Diagnosing favorable and unfavorable incidents', *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 54, pp.71 –84.

Breckenridge, J., and Jones, D. (2009), "Demystifying theoretical sampling in grounded theory research", *Grounded Theory Review*, Vol. 8 No. 2, 113-126.

Buechel, E. C., and Berger, J. (2018), 'Microblogging and the value of undirected communication'. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, Vol. 28 No.1, pp.40-55.

Castro, L. and Marquez, J. (2017), 'The use of Facebook to explore self-concept: analysing Colombian consumers', *Qualitative Market Research*, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 43-59.

Chen, T., Drennan, J., Andrews, L., and Hollebeek, L. D. (2018), 'User experience sharing: Understanding customer initiation of value co-creation in online communities', *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 52 No 5/6, pp.1154-1184.

Cheng, S. Y., White, T. B., and Chaplin, L. N. (2012), 'The effects of self-brand connections on responses to brand failure: A new look at the consumer–brand relationship', *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, Vol. 22 No. 2, pp.280-288.

Chevalier, J. A., and Mayzlin, D. (2006), 'The effect of word of mouth on sales: Online book reviews', *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 43 No. 3, pp.345-354.

Choi, S., and Mattila, A. S. (2008), 'Perceived controllability and service expectations: Influences on customer reactions following service failure', *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 61 No. 1, pp.24–30.

Colicev, A., Kumar, A., and O'Connor, P. (2019), 'Modeling the relationship between firm and user generated content and the stages of the marketing funnel', *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 36 No. 1, pp.100-116.

Corley, K. G., and Gioia, D. A. (2011), 'Building theory about theory building: what constitutes a theoretical contribution?', *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 36 No. 1, pp.12-32.

Coyne, I. T. (1997), "Sampling in qualitative research. Purposeful and theoretical sampling; merging or clear boundaries?", *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, Vol. 26 No. 3, 623-630.

Crisafulli, B., and Singh, J. (2017), 'Service failures in e-retailing: examining the effects of response time, compensation, and service criticality', *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 77, pp.413-424.

Demangeot, C. and Broderick, A.J. (2006), 'Exploring the experiential intensity of online shopping environments', *Qualitative Market Research*, Vol. 9 No. 4, pp. 325-351.

De Bruyn, A., and Lilien, G. L. (2008), 'A multi-stage model of word-of-mouth influence through viral marketing', *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 25 No. 3, pp.151-163.

Dick, A. S., and Basu, K. (1994), 'Customer loyalty: toward an integrated conceptual framework', *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 22 No. 2, pp.99-113.

Dong, B., Evans, K. R., and Zou, S. (2008), 'The effects of customer participation in co-created service recovery', *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 36 No. 1, pp.123-137.

Dost, F., Phielers, U., Haenlein, M., and Libai, B. (2019), 'Seeding as part of the marketing mix: Word-of-mouth program interactions for fast-moving consumer goods', *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 83 No. 2, pp.62–81.

Eigenraam, A. W., Eelen, J., Van Lin, A., and Verlegh, P. W. (2018), 'A consumer-based taxonomy of digital customer engagement practices', *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, Vol. 44, pp.102-121.

Fan, Y., and Niu, R. H. (2016), 'To tweet or not to tweet? Exploring the effectiveness of service recovery strategies using social media', *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, Vol. 36 No. 9, pp.1014-1036.

Folkes, V. S. (1984), 'Consumer reactions to product failure: An attributional approach', *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 10 No. 4, pp.398-409.

Gelbrich, K., Hagel, J., and Orsingher, C. (2021), 'Emotional support from a digital assistant in technology-mediated services: Effects on customer satisfaction and behavioral persistence', *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 38 No. 1, pp.176-193.

Gerrath, M. H., and Usrey, B. (2021), 'The impact of influencer motives and commonness perceptions on follower reactions toward incentivized reviews', *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol 38 No 3, pp.531-548.

Gioia, D. A., Corley, K. G., and Hamilton, A. L. (2013), 'Seeking qualitative rigor in inductive research: Notes on the Gioia methodology', *Organizational Research Methods*, Vol. 16 No. 1, pp.15-31.

Glaser, B., and Strauss, A. (1967), *The Discovery of Grounded Theory Strategies for Qualitative Research*, Mill Valley, CA: Sociology Press.

Godes, D., and Mayzlin, D. (2004), 'Using online conversations to study word-of-mouth communication', *Marketing Science*, Vol. 23 No. 4, pp.545-560.

Goodwin, C., and Ross, I. (1992), 'Consumer responses to service failures: Influence of procedural and interactional fairness perceptions', *Journal of Business research*, Vol. 25 No. 2, pp.149-163.

Guillen, D. E. (2019). Qualitative Research: Hermeneutical Phenomenological Method. *Journal of Educational Psychology-Propósitos y Representaciones*, 7(1), 217-229.

Haenlein, M. (2013), 'Social interactions in customer churn decisions: The impact of relationship directionality', *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 30 No 3, pp.236-248.

Hancock, D. R., and Algozzine, B. (2017), *Doing case study research: A practical guide for beginning researchers*. New York: Teachers College Press.

Hansen, N., Kupfer, A. K., and Hennig-Thurau, T. (2018), 'Brand crises in the digital age: The short-and long-term effects of social media firestorms on consumers and brands', *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 35 No. 4, pp.557-574.

Hartline, M. D., Maxham III, J. G., and McKee, D. O. (2000), 'Corridors of influence in the dissemination of customer-oriented strategy to customer contact service employees', *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 64 No 2, pp.35-50.

Heidegger, M. (1962/2004), *Being and time*. New York: Harper & Row Publishers.

Helal, G., Ozuem, W., and Lancaster, G. (2018), 'Social media brand perceptions of millennials', *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, Vol. 46 No. 10, pp.977-998.

Hennig-Thurau, T., Gwinner, K. P., Walsh, G., and Gremler, D. D. (2004), 'Electronic word-of-mouth via consumer opinion platforms: What motivates consumers to articulate themselves on the Internet?', *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, Vol. 18, pp.38–52.

Hoffman, D. L., and Fodor, M. (2010), 'Can you measure the ROI of your social media marketing?', *MIT Sloan Management Review*, Vol. 52 No. 1, pp.41.

Hoffman, K. D., Kelley, S. W., and Rotalsky, H. M. (1995), 'Tracking service failures and employee recovery efforts', *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp.49-61.

Hogreve, J., Bilstein, N., and Mandl, L. (2017), 'Unveiling the recovery time zone of tolerance: when time matters in service recovery', *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 45 No. 6, pp.866-883.

Holloway, B. B., and Beatty, S. E. (2003), 'Service failure in online retailing: a recovery opportunity', *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp.92-105.

Howell, K. E. (2013), *An introduction to the philosophy of methodology*. London: Sage Publications Ltd.

Hudson, S., Huang, L., Roth, M. S., and Madden, T. J. (2016), 'The influence of social media interactions on consumer–brand relationships: A three-country study of brand perceptions and

marketing behaviors', *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 33 No. 1, pp.27-41.

Hwang, Y., and Jeong, S. H. (2016), 'This is a sponsored blog post, but all opinions are my own: The effects of sponsorship disclosure on responses to sponsored blog posts', *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 62, pp.528-535.

Ibrahim, N., Wang, X., and Bourne, H. (2017), 'Exploring the effect of user engagement in online brand communities: Evidence from Twitter'. *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 72, pp.321-338.

Jiménez-Barreto, J., Rubio, N., Campo, S., and Molinillo, S. (2020), 'Linking the online destination brand experience and brand credibility with tourists' behavioral intentions toward a destination', *Tourism Management*, Vol. 79, p.104101.

Kats, R. (2021). *User-generated visual content can influence purchases*. eMarketer.

Retrieved from <https://www.emarketer.com/content/user-generated-visual-content-influence-purchases>. [Accessed: 21 December 2021].

Kelman, H. (1958), 'Compliance, identification, and internalization three processes of attitude change', *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp.51-60.

Kim, H. Y., and McGill, A. L. (2018), 'Minions for the rich? Financial status changes how consumers see products with anthropomorphic features', *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 45 No.2, pp.429-450.

Klostermann, J., Plumeyer, A., Böger, D., and Decker, R. (2018), 'Extracting brand information from social networks: Integrating image, text, and social tagging data', *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 35 No. 4, pp.538-556.

Kottwitz, M.U, Wehrt, W, Gerhardt, C *et al* (2022), ‘Yesterday’s work-home conflict and actigraphically recorded sleep-onset latency as predictors of today’s cognitive failure’, *Journal of Business and Psychology*, Vol. 39, pp.509-524

Krishnamurthy, S., and Dou, W. (2008), ‘Note from special issue editors: Advertising with user-generated content: A framework and research agenda’, *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, Vol. 8 No. 2, pp.1-4.

Kumar, N. (2023), *Web3 And Web 2.0: A Paradigm Shift For Digital Fashion Business Models*, Forbes [Online]. Retrieved from <https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbestechcouncil/2023/03/28/web3-and-web-20-a-paradigm-shift-for-digital-fashion-business-models/?sh=1ee990973438> [Accessed: 10th April 2023].

Kwak, H., Puzakova, M., and Rocereto, J. F. (2017), ‘When brand anthropomorphism alters perceptions of justice: The moderating role of self-construal’. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 34 No. 4, pp.851-871.

Lamrhari, S., El Ghazi, H., Oubrich, M., and El Faker, A. (2021), ‘A social CRM analytic framework for improving customer retention, acquisition, and conversion’, *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, Vol. 174, p.121275.

Lassar, W.M, Grewal, D. and Marmostein, H (1999), ‘Consumer responses to the timing of product breakdowns in the presence of manufacturers’ warranties’, *Journal of Business and Psychology*, Vol. 14, pp 355-371.

Langan, R., Besharat, A., and Varki, S. (2017), ‘The effect of review valence and variance on product evaluations: An examination of intrinsic and extrinsic cues’, *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 34 No. 2, pp.414-429.

Lim, H. and Schumann, D.W. (2019), 'Employing a dramaturgical lens to the interpretation of brand online social networking: Evidence of augmented self', *Qualitative Market Research*, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 278-300.

Liu, X., Burns, A. C., and Hou, Y. (2017), 'An investigation of brand-related user-generated content on Twitter', *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 46 No. 2, pp.236-247.

Liu, H., Jayawardhena, C., Dibb, S., and Ranaweera, C. (2019), 'Examining the trade-off between compensation and promptness in eWOM-triggered service recovery: A restorative justice perspective', *Tourism Management*, Vol. 75, pp.381-392.

Loeb, W. (2020), *Social Media Plays A Big Role In How Millennials Shop, But So Do Stores*. Forbes [Online]. Retrieved from <https://www.forbes.com/sites/walterloeb/2020/01/21/why-millennials-shop-on-social-media-but-also-like-to-shop-in-stores/#14a0eb6b350c> [Accessed: 10th July 2020].

Malär, L., Krohmer, H., Hoyer, W. D., and Nyffenegger, B. (2011), 'Emotional brand attachment and brand personality: The relative importance of the actual and the ideal self', *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 75 No. 4, pp.35-52.

Mintel, (2022), *US Online Apparel Retailing Market Report 2022*, [Online]. Retrieved from <https://store.mintel.com/us/technology-telecoms/e-commerce/us-online-apparel-retailing-market-report/> [Accessed: 10th April 2023].

Naeem, M. (2020), 'Uncovering the role of social motivational factors as a tool for enhancing brand-related content', *Qualitative Market Research*, Vol. 23 No. 2, pp. 287-307.

Naeem, M. and Ozuem, W. (2022), 'Understanding the different types of UGC participants and social context for fashion brands: insights from social media platforms', *Qualitative Market Research*, Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 181-204.

Naeem, M. (2021), 'The role of social media to generate social proof as engaged society for stockpiling behaviour of customers during Covid-19 pandemic', *Qualitative Market Research*, Vol. 24 No. 3, pp. 281-301.

Mattila, A. S., and Patterson, P. G. (2004), 'The impact of culture on consumers' perceptions of service recovery efforts', *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 80 No. 3, pp.196-206.

McCollough, M. A., and Bharadwaj, S. G. (1992), 'The recovery paradox: an examination of consumer satisfaction in relation to disconfirmation, service quality, and attribution based theories', *Marketing Theory and Applications*, p.119.

Merlo, K.L. Wiegand, K.E., Shaughnessy, S.P *et al* (2020), 'A qualitative study of daydreaming episode at work', *Journal of Business and Psychology*, Vol. 35, pp 203 -222

Menon, K., and Ranaweera, C. (2018), 'Beyond close vs. distant ties: Understanding post-service sharing of information with close, exchange, and hybrid ties'. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 35 No. 1, pp.154-169.

Merleau-Ponty, M. (1962/1999). *The phenomenology of perception*. London: Routledge.

Meuter, M. L., Ostrom, A. L., Roundtree, R. I., and Bitner, M. J. (2000), 'Self-service technologies: understanding customer satisfaction with technology-based service encounters', *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 64 No. 3, pp.50-64.

Mintel. (2021). *Netflix Plus*. [Online]. Retrieved from https://reports-mintel-com.cumbria.idm.oclc.org/trends/#/observation/1087481?fromSearch=%3Ffilters.region%3D14%26freetext%3Duser%2520generated%2520content%26last_filter%3Dregion [Accessed: 20th December 2021].

Moon, S., and Kamakura, W. A. (2017), 'A picture is worth a thousand words: Translating product reviews into a product positioning map', *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 34 No. 1, pp.265-285.

Moon, S., Kim, M. Y., and Iacobucci, D. (2021), 'Content analysis of fake consumer reviews by survey-based text categorization', *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 38 No 2, pp.343-364.

Mukherjee, P. (2014), 'How chilling are network externalities? The role of network structure', *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 31 No. 4, pp.452-456.

Nanne, A. J., Antheunis, M. L., and van Noort, G. (2021), 'The role of facial expression and tie strength in sender presence effects on consumers' brand responses towards visual brand-related user generated content', *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 117, p.106628.

Netzer, O., Feldman, R., Goldenberg, J., and Fresko, M. (2012), 'Mine your own business: Market-structure surveillance through text mining', *Marketing Science*, Vol. 31 No. 3, pp.521-543.

Odoom, R., Agbemabiese, G. C., and Hinson, R. E. (2019), 'Service recovery satisfaction in offline and online experiences', *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, Vol. 38 No. 1, pp.1-14.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2007), *Working Party on the Information Economy. Participative Web: User-Created Content* [Online]. Retrieved from <http://www.oecd.org/internet/ieconomy/38393115.pdf>

Ozuem, W., Ranfagni, S., Willis, M., Rovai, S., and Howell, K. (2021), 'Exploring customers' responses to online service failure and recovery strategies during Covid-19 pandemic: An actor-network theory perspective', *Psychology & Marketing*, Vol. 38 No. 9, pp.1440-1459.

Ozuem, W., Willis, M. and Howell, K. (2022), "Thematic analysis without paradox: sensemaking and context", *Qualitative Market Research*, Vol. 25 No. 1, pp. 143-157.

Pansari, A., and Kumar, V. (2017), 'Customer engagement: the construct, antecedents, and consequences', *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 45 No. 3, pp.294-311.

Poch, R., and Martin, B. (2015), 'Effects of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation on user-generated content', *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, Vol. 23 No. 4, pp.305-317.

Pratt, M. G. (2008), 'Fitting oval pegs into round holes: Tensions in evaluating and publishing qualitative research in top-tier North American journals', *Organizational Research Methods*, Vol. 11, pp.481-509.

Presi, C., Saridakis, C., and Hartmans, S. (2014), 'User-generated content behaviour of the dissatisfied service customer', *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 48 No. 9/10, pp.1600-1625.

Quach, S., and Thaichon, P. (2017), 'From connoisseur luxury to mass luxury: value co-creation and co-destruction in the online environment', *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 81, pp.163-172.

Ramirez, E., Gau, R., Hadjimarcou, J., and Xu, Z. (2018), 'User-generated content as word-of-mouth', *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, Vol. 26 No. 1-2, pp.90-98.

Ransbotham, S., Kane, G. C., and Lurie, N. H. (2012), 'Network characteristics and the value of collaborative user-generated content', *Marketing Science*, Vol. 31 No. 3, pp.387-405.

Rimé, B. (2009), 'Emotion elicits the social sharing of emotion: Theory and empirical review', *Emotion Review*, Vol. 1, pp.60–85.

Ringberg, T., Odekerken-Schroder, G., and Christensen, G. L. (2007), 'A cultural models approach to service recovery', *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 71 No. 3, pp.194-214.

Roggeveen, A. L., Tsiros, M., and Grewal, D. (2012), 'Understanding the co-creation effect: when does collaborating with customers provide a lift to service recovery?., *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 40 No. 6, pp.771-790.

Rozin, P., and Royzman, E. B. (2001), 'Negativity bias, negativity dominance, and contagion', *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, Vol. 5 No. 4, pp.296-320.

Sabanoglu, T. (2020). *Do you follow brands on social media? And if so, why?.* Statista [Online]. Retrieved from <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1042060/consumer-reasons-for-following-brands-on-social-media-united-kingdom-uk/> [Accessed: 06th July 2020]

Sarker, S., and Lee, A. S. (2002), 'Using a positivist case research methodology to test three competing theories-in-use of business process redesign', *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, Vol. 2 No. 1, p.7

Sarker, S., Sarker, S., and Sidorova, A. (2006), 'Understanding business process change failure: An actor-network perspective', *Journal of Management Information Systems*, Vol. 23 No. 1, pp.51-86.

Septianto, F., Northey, G., Chiew, T. M., and Ngo, L. V. (2020), 'Hubristic pride & prejudice: The effects of hubristic pride on negative word-of-mouth', *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 37 No. 3, pp.621-643.

Siles, I., and Boczkowski, P. J. (2012), 'Making sense of the newspaper crisis: A critical assessment of existing research and an agenda for future work', *New Media & Society*, Vol. 14 No. 8, pp.1375-1394.

Sims, R. (1997), 'Interactivity: A forgotten art?', *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 13 No. 2, pp.157-180.

Sinha, J., and Lu, F. C. (2016), ‘“I” value justice, but “we” value relationships: Self-construal effects on post-transgression consumer forgiveness’, *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, Vol. 26 No. 2, pp.265-274.

Smith, A. K., Bolton, R. N., and Wagner, J. (1999), ‘A model of customer satisfaction with service encounters involving failure and recovery’, *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 6, pp.356-372.

Statista, (2023), *Fashion eCommerce report 2023*, [Online] Available at:

<https://www.statista.com/study/38340/e-commerce-report-fashion/> [Accessed: 10th April 2023].

Strauss, A., and Corbin, J. (1998), *Basics of qualitative research* (2nd ed.). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Su, L., Stepchenkova, S. and Kirilenko, A.P. (2019), ‘Online public response to a service failure incident: Implications for crisis communications’, *Tourism Management*, Vol. 73, pp.1-12.

Suddick, K. M., Cross, V., Vuoskoski, P., Galvin, K. T., & Stew, G. (2020). The work of hermeneutic phenomenology. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 19, 1609406920947600.

Sugathan, P., Ranjan, K. R., and Mulky, A. G. (2017), ‘Atypical shifts post-failure: Influence of co-creation on attribution and future motivation to co-create’, *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, Vol. 38, pp.64-81.

Taylor, S. E., and Thompson, S. C. (1982), ‘Stalking the elusive “vividness” effect’, *Psychological Review*, Vol. 89 No.2, pp.155-181.

Tjandra, N.C., Ensor, J., Omar, M. and Thomson, J.R. (2020), 'An evaluation of the effect of interconnectedness and the state of the relationships in a triad: a dynamic approach',

Qualitative Market Research, Vol. 23 No. 4, pp. 821-841.

Torres, E. N. (2015), 'The influence of others on the vacation experience: An ethnographic study of psychographics, decision making, and group dynamics among young travelers',

Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management, Vol. 24 No. 8, pp.826-856.

Van den Bulte, C., and Lilien, G. L. (2001), 'Medical innovation revisited: Social contagion versus marketing effort', *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 106 No. 5, pp.1409-1435.

Vanhouche, W., and Alba, J. W. (2009), 'Generalizing from negative experiences', *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 26 No. 3, pp.238-244.

Van Laer, T., and De Ruyter, K. (2010), 'In stories we trust: How narrative apologies provide cover for competitive vulnerability after integrity-violating blog posts', *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 27 No 2, pp.164-174.

Van Maanen, J. (1979), 'The fact of fiction in organizational ethnography', *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol 24, pp.539-550.

Van Vaerenbergh, Y., Hazée, S., & Costers, A. (2018). 'Customer participation in service recovery: A meta-analysis'. *Marketing Letters*, Vol. 29 No. 4, pp.465-483.

Venkatesh, V., & Brown, S. (2001). 'A longitudinal investigation of personal computers in homes: Adoption determinants and emerging challenges'. *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 25 No. 1, pp.71-102.

Verhoef, P. C., Lemon, K. N., Parasuraman, A., Roggeveen, A., Tsiros, M., & Schlesinger, L. A. (2009). 'Customer experience creation: Determinants, dynamics and management strategies'. *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 85 No. 1, pp.31-41.

Vermeer, S. A., Araujo, T., Bernritter, S. F., & van Noort, G. (2019). 'Seeing the wood for the trees: How machine learning can help firms in identifying relevant electronic word-of-mouth in social media'. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 36 No. 3, pp.492-508.

Wan, L. C., Hui, M. K., & Wyer Jr, R. S. (2011). 'The role of relationship norms in responses to service failures'. *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 38 No. 2, pp.260-277.

Wan, L. C., & Wyer, R. S. (2019). 'The Influence of Incidental Similarity on Observers' Causal Attributions and Reactions to a Service Failure?'. *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 45 No. 6, pp.1350-1368.

Wang, H. S., Noble, C. H., Dahl, D. W., & Park, S. (2019). 'Successfully communicating a cocreated innovation'. *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 83 No. 4, pp.38-57.

Wang, Y. S., Wu, S. C., Lin, H. H., & Wang, Y. Y. (2011). 'The relationship of service failure severity, service recovery justice and perceived switching costs with customer loyalty in the context of e-tailing'. *International Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 31 No. 4, pp.350-359.

Wang, D., Yang, Z., and Ding, Z. (2019), 'Is Sociability or Interactivity more Effective for Enhancing Performance? Findings from a Massively Multiplayer Online Role-Playing Game', *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, Vol. 48, pp.106-119.

Wei, S., Ang, T., and Anaza, N. A. (2019), 'Recovering co-created service failures: the missing link of perceived justice and ethicalness', *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 33 No. 7, pp.921-935.

Weiss, A. M., Lurie, N. H., and MacInnis, D. J. (2008), 'Listening to strangers: whose responses are valuable, how valuable are they, and why?', *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 45 No. 4, pp.425-436.

White, K., and Dahl, D. W. (2006), 'To be or not be? The influence of dissociative reference groups on consumer preferences', *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, Vol. 16 No. 4, pp.404-414.

Wirtz, J., and Mattila, A. S. (2004), 'Consumer responses to compensation, speed of recovery and apology after a service failure', *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, Vol. 15 No. 2, pp.150-166.

Yang, H. L., and Lai, C. Y. (2010). 'Motivations of Wikipedia content contributors', *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 26 No. 6, pp.1377-1383.

Yin, R. K. (2014), *Case study research: Design and methods* (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

You, Y., and Joshi, A. M. (2020), 'The impact of user-generated content and traditional media on customer acquisition and retention', *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 49 No 3, pp.213-233.

You, Y., Yang, X., Wang, L., and Deng, X. (2020), 'When and Why Saying "Thank You" Is Better Than Saying "Sorry" in Redressing Service Failures: The Role of Self-Esteem', *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 84 No. 2, pp.133-150.

Appendix 1: Guided interview questions

Pre-phase demographic details

1) Please tick your age range:

Age	
18–20 years	
21–23 years	
24–26 years	
27–29 years	

30–32 years	
33–35 years	
36–39 years	
40 years and above	

How old will you be on your next birthday?

2) Please state your gender:

- a) Female
- b) Male
- c) Other

3) Please state your occupation:

Break-down of questions for individual article topics:

a) Online service failure

1. Which online service failure did you experience? (technical, communication, delivery, others).
2. When (in which occasion) did you experience it? (a normal shopping, after a specific client request, after a specific event, etc...).
3. Why did you decide to use that online service of the provider?, Was it the first time that you decided to use that online services of that provider? ((if no) - What other online services did you use and why?).
4. Did you have a relationship with that provider (for how long, for what, collect details on the relationship).
5. What do you think about the provider? (try to understand the perception of the provider)
6. Do you know other competitive providers?, In which do they differentiate?, Which of their online services did you experience and why?

b) The context of sharing - UGC

7. Where did you communicate the online service failure? (social networks, which social networks were used, which is their name and characteristics, other online media used; offline media used and relative description)
8. Why did you decide to communicate through these means of communication? (fast, effectiveness, accessible, causality; try to understand the reasons of their use respect to other means of communication)
9. Did you use to adopt these means of communications (in which other occasions they are used, why and how long they are used)
10. Where do you usually share information with your friends? (social networks and which, how often you use them respect to other communication means)

c) The content shared

11. Did you write before on social networks and then contact the company or did you contact before the company and then you write on social networks? Did you implement other kinds of behaviour?
12. What did you write about your online service failure to the company?
13. What did you write about your online service failure in social network?
14. Who answered to your communication? (more than one persons, how many, in how much time, etc...)
15. What answered to your communication? (try to remember expressions)
16. What is your impression about the persons who answered (they knew provider, they were expert on the issue as they had an experience similar to yours, they answered by accident, etc..)
17. Did you know them? (if yes, indicate if you have good/bad relationships, you had many occasions to speak with them, etc..)
18. What is the prevailed view at the end of the sharing? And why (your ideas prevail and why, the ideas of other prevail and the ideas of who and why, indicate why you accepted them)

d) Recovery strategy

19. Did you get an answer from the provider?, How did the provider answer? (in case of a dominant collective based view, try to understand the approach that the provider used, he answer to you or give a collective answer)

20. Were you engaged in the recovery strategy by the provider? (how you were engaged, explain if you had the competences to be able to give your contribution, explain which was the role of the firm in the recovery strategy)
21. Did the provider engage other actors to answer you? (who are these actors, how they were involved, what they made).
22. Were you satisfied of the answer received and why (try to understand on what the perception of satisfaction is based)
23. Did you have other expectations in terms of recovery strategy? Which kind of expectations?